

Spearhead

£1.00

No. 317 JULY 1995



East London

ARA
Anti-Racist Alliance




CLASS



WAR

Socialist Worker

RED ACTION



**ANTI
FASCIST
ACTION
IN THE AREA**

AFA BM Box 1234 WC1N 3XX



Anti Nazi League

**THE BRITISH
LEFT — QUEERS,
FREAKS, MISFITS
AND NO-HOPERS.
See page 10**

CLASS WAR
★ REVOLUTIONARY

INTERNATIONALIST LEAGUE

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news.

Ever deeper in their own mire

The recent decision to dispatch 6,000 additional British troops to Bosnia had about it a depressing aura of inevitability. As our politicians have not tired of telling us, the move was a response to the action of the Bosnian Serbs in taking as hostages 256 UN 'peacekeepers', including 33 Britons. At the time of writing, it looks as if the release of these hostages will occur very shortly, but that is a tactical detail in an overall picture of an escalating war into which Britain is being sucked ever more deeply almost by the hour.

Given that the hostages had been taken, it is difficult to know how our Government could have avoided the decision to 'beef up' British forces in the region. Once you have got yourself involved in a very stupid and unnecessary fight, and your adversary strikes a nasty blow at you, the only alternative to running away is hitting back. This is not to say that Mr. Major's joke of a Government is averse to the idea of running

away — it is doing virtually that in Northern Ireland. In the conflict in Bosnia, however, the Government has invested so much of its own kudos and credit in the decision to involve Britain that a disengagement now would amount to a monumental humiliation for the politicians who ordered the crazy policy in the first place. Mr. Major and his colleagues do not themselves have to stand in the line of fire from Serb guns; they can, from their cosy cabinet seats in Westminster, move battalions this way and that way on a map, regarding the lives of British servicemen as expendable in the cause of their own political reputations. A pull-out on the part of this Government now would amount to an acknowledgement that the whole business of British involvement in the conflict has been a fiasco from the word go, and that it, the Government, is to blame. The pretence therefore has to be maintained that Britain's troops are in Bosnia in a worthy cause. If there aren't enough of them to bring the Serbs to heel, why — send 6,000 more! And if that isn't enough, send a further 6,000! This is precisely how the Americans allowed themselves to be boxed into an impossible corner in Vietnam. Eventually a US Government had to bow to the inevitable reality that the conflict there was none of America's business — by which time hundreds of thousands of American lives had been lost, and the humiliation of withdrawal was that much bigger because of the scale of the war and the sacrifice having become so much bigger. It appears that Britain's politicians have learned nothing from that experience.

Nations in possession of their proper senses do not send their forces into battle zones where men might get killed unless there is some vital national interest at stake. Then, providing that interest truly warrants the commitment, they are prepared to make whatever sacrifice is demanded for victory.

In this case, servicemen and the families standing behind them accept the risk and, where applicable, the inevitable casualties. Men when they enlist in their country's armed forces recognise that they may have to lay down their lives for that country. It does not occur to them — nor should it — that they might be called upon to lay down their lives for someone else's country, least of all to save the faces of politicians playing party politics.

It is an interesting paradox that political leaders in liberal democracies, who by their own theory are the most dedicated to ideals of peace and conciliation between nations, and posture most grandly as the ones who set the highest store on human life, are so often the most eager at a whim to send men off to fight in wars and are the least responsible in their calculation of whether the sacrifice is justified. Real statesmen grimly and calmly assess the national interests at stake before giving the order to start firing, and then, when those interests are beyond any dispute, are prepared to wage war to the bitter end. Political charlatans — the type who normally come to the top in parliamentary democracies — are the ones prone to react hysterically to perceived crises and risk lives (always provided they are not their own) in knee-jerk responses to mass-media-engendered 'international emergencies'. "We can't just sit by and do nothing!" That was the reaction of all good liberal 'world citizens' to the outbreak of hostilities in former Yugoslavia — when all prudent counsel would have ruled that we did exactly that. The heat of emotion, rather than cool reasoning, decided British policy, and we went to war. Now, as if in pained surprise at the consequences, the people responsible are wondering how we can extricate ourselves.

The emotion apart, recent history seems to demonstrate an uncontrollable itch on the part of democratic politicians to meddle in the affairs of other nations, and that itch seems to grow in direct ratio to the size of the problems which they are incapable of solving at home. Of course, between the first and the second there is a logical connection; weak leaders who cannot put down crime, bring order to the streets, defeat internal subversion and prevent the collapse of their own societies are, by these foreign adventures, able to strike phony postures of 'strength' and 'resolution' — while conveniently diverting their people's attention from domestic ills to valour, duty and discipline in the war zone shown by men of a fibre vastly different to their own.

Britain's present dilemma in Bosnia was all so thoroughly predictable. It is the price we pay for having sorcerers' apprentices at the helm of national affairs.

The trend becometh the gospel

The Church of England gets more and more pathetic. Its latest pronouncement is

Spearhead

No. 317 JULY 1995
PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and do not necessarily represent the views of the editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication, which should not normally be longer than 1,250 words unless specifically pre-arranged with the Editor, and should be typed in double spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication should he deem this necessary. Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and to *Spearhead*, and to include in the acknowledgement the full name and address of the magazine.

that cohabitation outside marriage, known rhetorically as 'living in sin', is no longer to be regarded as contrary to its moral teaching. In explaining this latest move, the Archbishop of Canterbury tells us that it is simply a realistic response to the fact that such a practice has now become the accepted social norm and the Church must therefore move with the times.

Whatever the arguments for or against the sanctioning of unwed couples living together, this one beggars belief. It amounts to saying: "Because it has now become so widespread we must deem it right." What next? As ordered society disintegrates, are there any limits to what their Graces of the Church of England will bestow their blessings upon? Mugging and rape are becoming ever more common — so why not decree that they are acceptable? Drug-taking is rife — so endorse it. Violence has become part of our culture — so pronounce it OK!

None of this is to suggest that non-marital living relationships are on a par with these practices; it is only to pinpoint the feeble idiocy of adapting morality to fashion. The Church of England, which seems nowadays to have succumbed to this idiocy wholesale, might well adopt as its new Commandment: "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em!"

Late and unlamented

The enjoinder about not speaking ill of the dead is one difficult to abide by in the case of former Premier and Labour leader Harold Wilson, who took his leave of us towards the end of May. Perhaps we should observe the rule in respect of comments on Lord Wilson's private character and behaviour but this should not inhibit us from being frank as to his contribution to the nation in the course of his political life.

In his prime as a young man when World War II broke out, Harold Wilson chose not to go and fight in the anti-Nazi cause in which he and his fellow socialists so passionately believed. Instead he got himself a cosy desk job in Whitehall, where he came to the notice of the then Labour leadership as a clever fellow destined for big things. His rise in the party was swift, and when Hugh Gaitskell died in 1963 he took over as chief. A year later he was Prime Minister.

This meteoric rise to the top may have had something to do with the fact that, like Mrs. Thatcher after him, Harold was not long in appreciating who were the most important power-brokers in British politics and took great care to cultivate the favour of a particular ethnic minority with more than a little clout in the business. In the first ever issue of this journal printed in magazine format, in December 1964, we did a front-page feature showing six of the men who exerted the greatest influence on the recently elected socialist Premier, all but one of them millionaire capitalists. They were: 'Defence Scientist' Sir Solly Zuckerman, business adviser Lewis Cohen, entertainment tycoon



Wilson, pictured here 12 years after his resignation in 1976. Successful as a politician, he was a disaster as a national leader.

Sidney Bernstein, chain store boss and big-time Labour Party backer Isaac Wolfson, left-wing book-publisher Victor Gollancz and — yes, our old and not long-departed friend, champion benefactor of the pension-fund subscriber Robert Maxwell!

Wilson's years in power were years in which British industry degenerated into gross inefficiency and incompetence, while inflation went through the roof. They were the years in which the trade union barons ruled like an omnipotent mafia, while Harold kow-towed to them at every turn in his efforts to hold together the rag bag of squabbling factions that the Labour Party had become. At first mildly anti-Common Market, he did a spectacular about-turn when he realised which way the wind was blowing, and he later held a referendum on the issue in which the voters were conned by bribes and lies into giving an assent which millions of them years afterwards bitterly regretted.

Wilson seized a place on the world stage by his vicious campaign of sanctions against the Whites of what then was Rhodesia. He, probably more than any other British politician, played a decisive part in paving the way for the cesspool of crime and corruption that is today's 'Zimbabwe'.

Sunday Telegraph editor Charles Moore, in devoting a leader to Wilson on his death, said of him that:-

"In those areas of politics that really matter, the Wilson era... was the worst period in our history since the 17th century. Worst not because of deep injustice and oppression but because of

emptiness, evasion and failure. The saddest thing said about Harold Wilson last week was a remark intended in his praise, that his greatest achievement was the setting up of the Open University..."

It says something about Britain in the second part of the 20th century that, notwithstanding that he was a disaster as a national leader, Harold Wilson's career as a politician was brilliantly successful. He attained the foremost honours that the land can offer and his funeral was accompanied by glowing tributes from the high and the mighty everywhere. He made friends, you see, with the right people and he served the right interests. These days, that is what counts.

Educating for powerlessness

Most readers with children undergoing secondary education will know that 'Holocaust' studies are now an essential part of the national curriculum. These include the reading of *The Diary of Anne Frank* and showings of the film *Schindler's List*, which, according to the education section of *The Times* of April 24th, has been supplied to every secondary school in the country by a group calling itself the 'Holocaust Educational Trust', described in the paper as a "privately funded body" (funded by whom is not stated).

In addition to all this, religious education courses nowadays have to incorporate studies not only of Christianity but of 'ethnic minority' religions such as Judaism and Islam. And while we are on the subject of ethnic minorities, we must not neglect to mention that 'anti-racist' studies have formed an important part of the curriculum of a great many schools.

All this takes up a great deal of time, and time, according to many teachers, is something in short supply. When the Government introduced the national curriculum a few years ago in what was supposed to be an attempt to get back to the educational basics that many schools had abandoned, one of the big objections to it among teachers was that they simply couldn't fit it all in. And no wonder!

Time taken teaching and learning about the 'Holocaust', about alien religions and about 'anti-racism' is time not taken teaching and learning other things, and there is evidence that those other things include studies essential to turning out the young people Britain needs to compete in the modern world. Vast numbers of our youngsters are today illiterate in their native language — quite apart from being much less accomplished than their counterparts overseas in foreign languages. A great many are not any longer learning geography, while the history syllabus has become so distorted by 'politically correct' propaganda that there are huge gaps in our children's knowledge of their nation's past.

People are often puzzled at why nations
Contd. overleaf

like Britain and America are today so much less competent than the Japanese. It should not be difficult to find the reasons. Japanese children do not spend hours having their heads stuffed with useless information of the kind that is now *de rigueur* in schools in this country and the United States. Their study time is devoted to subjects chosen to increase capability in the things that matter to national strength and success.

If the educational crisis in Britain is to be overcome and standards are to be raised in our schools, one of the things we must do is throw out all this worthless ballast that is clogging up school timetables and diverting our school pupils from the subjects on which they should be concentrating if Britain is not to continue going down the tubes.

When a wimp nation gets what it deserves

Press report:-

"An uncompromising police response followed yesterday's rioting in the northern industrial city of Bradford, where hundreds of young Asians rank amok in the Albertwistle district, throwing petrol bombs at police officers, smashing shop windows, setting fire to cars and attacking fire engines.

"At a press conference this morning the Chief Constable for the county, Mr. William Grimroyd, laid down the law firmly to all offenders and would-be imitators. Mr. Grimroyd, a square-jawed man with a no-nonsense demeanour, said that two hundred arrests had already been made and that more were anticipated. He continued: 'I am not going to tolerate this inexcusable terrorism against the city and its law-abiding population. Any further attempts at disorder will be met by overwhelming force. My men will be instructed to make baton charges on any mobs assembling in the area, and I do not rule out the use of water cannon and tear gas should the situation call for such measures. Any individuals found engaging in acts of violence or incitement thereto will be instantly arrested and they, and those who have already been taken into custody, can expect exemplarily long prison sentences during which they will be subjected to hard labour.'

The above, of course, is pure fiction. How could it be otherwise in the 'liberal' Britain of the 1990s? Yet it is the kind of report that millions of British people must in their hearts long to read. Instead, however, following the outbreak of violence and terror in the Manningham district of Bradford last month, involving mobs mainly of Asians and Blacks, the police reaction was pathetic. The Chief Constable for West Yorkshire, a mild-looking man with an apologetic voice and manner, more a male lead in a 1950s Pinewood movie than an officer for law-enforcement in a volatile



Bradford: a police vehicle burns. Meanwhile top cop cringes before troublemakers instead of cracking down hard in defence of the law

modern city, came on television and whined incomprehendingly about what had happened. He spoke of the 'cultural alienation' suffered by so many Asian youths. He told us all that talks would be taking place between police and 'community leaders' to see how better understanding could be achieved. Not once was the local population given any assurance that his officers would be cracking down hard on the offenders and maintaining proper order in the streets in the time to come.

The response of the media was completely in tune and thus thoroughly predictable. Newspaper columns gushed with excuses for the rioting, talking again about 'alienation' and scarcely anywhere issuing the condemnation of the troublemakers that was merited.

TV reports were noticeable for the fact that the people interviewed were either young Asians (some of whom no doubt had been engaged in the violence themselves), their elders, or police officers who took the same jellyfish line as their superior, talking again of alienation and conciliation and never once seeming to show any resolution to uphold the law, let alone bring to justice those who had broken it.

Especially glaring in these reports was the fact that interviewers did not seem to think it appropriate to talk to any ordinary native white Bradfordian and ask his or her views about the trouble. Of course, to the media the feelings of such people are not worthy of a moment's consideration.

The line taken by Asian leaders was interesting though not of course new. Instead of apologising unreservedly to the host community for the misbehaviour of their younger brethren, they blamed it all on police 'racism', demanded the release from custody of the woefully few who had been arrested and the suspension of certain police officers who had incurred their displeasure, and as good as threatened that if these demands were not met there would be more

riots — all over Britain — in the near future.

Could it not be said that what this country gets these days is exactly what it asks for?

Major's last throw of the dice?

Supporters of John Major (yes, believe it or not, there still are a few) are crediting him with 'courage' and 'toughness' for his decision to resign the Tory leadership and stand for re-election some months in advance of the expected time for a challenge to him. In fact no such praise is merited.

Major is a cornered rat, fighting for the only thing that ever mattered to him — his position as Prime Minister. Not being flies on the wall of 10 Downing Street, we cannot attempt to gauge what calculations were in his mind. Many media pundits have tried this but perhaps they are all wrong in imagining that he had any calculations at all. Would it be out of character for him just to be making a wild and reckless gesture aimed at demonstrating some phony 'strength' when all other options would seem to have deserted him?

By the time this issue reaches our readers the result of the first ballot will be known but we do not yet know it at this moment of writing. It is early to predict how all the present feuding in the Tory Party will end, but one thing can be said: Major's one hope of survival is the abysmal lack of any men or women of real calibre able to succeed him. That of course will not deter a number who fancy their chances. It'll be some fun in the next few weeks watching these numerous political tadpoles scheming and manoeuvring for the big job: Heseltine, Clarke, Portillo, Lamont, Redwood, Shepherd, *et al* — all of course swearing their loyalty to poor John while smacking their lips at the thought of his political demise. With such alternatives, God help Britain!

SOME CALL IT CONSPIRACY...

JOHN TYNDALL examines a theory that is the subject of much argument

IN AN ARTICLE in *The Daily Mail* on June 2nd, playwright Ian Curteis deplored the domination of British television by the legions of the 'politically correct' and what he called "the insidious bigotry" with which they propagated their opinions. And he asked:-

"How has this come about? How is it that a tiny group — for the total employed in British broadcasting numbers less than one-twentieth of one per cent of the population — should hold such an illiberal stranglehold on the most powerful medium the world has known?"

And he continued:-

"How is it that they have become stuck in a groove so rigid that it bears almost no resemblance to the wide spectrum of viewpoints that constitutes the culture of this country? I don't believe for one moment that it's a conspiracy. It's due to two other, far more insidious, factors."

Mr. Curteis explained these factors as being: (1) the obsession on the part of TV programme producers with 'educating' public opinion and (2) the desire for conformity that exists within the tightly enclosed and isolated society to which broadcasters mostly belong. But in his pointed dissociation from the idea of 'conspiracy' he sounded to me rather like the very people who were the objects of his second criticism. I have heard just the same denial of the conspiracy factor from numerous others who have attacked similar things. It was almost as if the writer was daring to be a little nonconformist but not daring to be too much so. To attack rampant leftism in the BBC is to stay within the permitted perimeters of Conservative opinion, but to talk of conspiracies is to invite the charge that one is 'fascist'. And no-one who wants to get his works shown on the oblong screen is going to commit that kind of occupational suicide. Could Mr. Curteis be admitting less than he knows?

THE RIGHT WORD?

I have recently been discussing the conspiracy issue with some of my own colleagues. One or two of them have expressed the view that 'conspiracy' has become rather a hackneyed term and should preferably be phased out of the nationalist vocabulary. I can understand this reasoning. The conspiracy theory attracts more than its share of cranks, and one of the sure signs of crankiness in a conspiracy theorist is the tendency to overstate the power and cleverness of the alleged conspirators to the point at which they seem so omnipotent that it really isn't worth trying to fight them. The conspiracy cranks do not exactly say this and perhaps do not even believe it, but the logic of their argument does ultimately point in that direction. One evening some years ago, my wife and I were visited at our home in Sussex by a lady of about 60 with a rather gentrified Irish accent. She appeared on the doorstep in a state of great agitation, saying that she had come down from London with some tremendously important news about what 'they' were planning — but we had to be careful; 'they' knew her every move because they had inserted a bugging device in an unmentionable part of her anatomy — she discovered this as she was travelling down on the train!

This was, of course, an extreme case. The vast majority of conspiracy-believers of my acquaintance are thoroughly sane, and some are very intelligent. Even among the latter, however, there is a widespread tendency to take the conspiracy theory to ridiculous lengths, making it completely implausible to ordinary rational people. There is, I have found, a certain vanity and a tendency to 'one-upmanship' among conspiracy theorists. It is as if one is saying to another: "I know more about the conspiracy than you

do!" For the purpose of proving this superior understanding, some phenomena are attributed to 'the conspiracy' when in fact they have nothing to do with it, and the conspirators are credited with having their agents in all sorts of places where they almost certainly do not have them. Have you ever talked politics in a bar or cafe to one of these people? "Shhh! Keep your voice down," he says. "The man at that table over there — I'm sure he's listening to our conversation and noting it down so as to report it. He could be Special Branch. He could be MI5. He could be a red. He's probably followed us here!"

Of course, it is possible that the man at the next table is as our companion has described. These things do occasionally happen. My experience, however, is that most of the time they do not happen, and that the man at the next table may have one of any number of reasons for eavesdropping on the conversation but that spying on behalf of our enemies is not one of them.

At a BNP leadership conference earlier this year I expressed the view that where party representatives dwell on the theme of conspiracy in politics they should do so with the utmost circumspection, taking care to avoid giving the impression of crankiness and, in particular, to avoid making the forces of conspiracy appear much more powerful and highly organised than in fact they are. In so many conversations I have with people on this subject, I have found a note of gloom and pessimism in their attitudes. The more rigidly one believes that the events that affect our lives are all the product of some gigantic conspiracy the more one is inclined to believe that that conspiracy has everything so thoroughly 'sown up' that fighting it is hopeless. "What can you do?" the conspiracy buff says. "They control everything!" He may still go through the motions of opposing those conspirators, perhaps 'exposing' them in little pamphlets that go out to his friends. But he has lost all real belief that this conspiracy can be beaten. And of course, the stronger and more strangulatory the conspiracy can be made to appear, the greater excuse there is for our being powerless against it. In a lot of this conspiracy talk, what comes through is the loser mentality. "We are weak because 'they' are too strong. We can't do this and we can't do that because 'they' have a grip on everything!" Thus does the political failure rationalise his ineffectuality.

All this aside, to talk of 'conspiracy' to a would-be new recruit to our cause is to ask him to think of something that at that stage of his induction is probably far beyond his understanding. What you credit the conspirators as being able to get away with, he most likely will not believe anyone could ever get away with. Conspiracy most certainly does exist in politics, and what it has sometimes achieved is a classic case of truth being stranger than fiction. The problem is that this very strangeness is likely, in the early stages of initiation to our politics, to seem much more like fiction than truth!

STRUGGLE FOR POWER

Perhaps it is time we started to look on this conspiracy question in an entirely different way. Perhaps what we are apt to call 'conspiracy' is no more than a symptom of people's eternal struggle for power.

The reality of the world we live in — a reality painful to 'liberals' but nonetheless unchangeable — is that groups of people, usually but not always defined in terms of nation-states, are constantly at war with each other. The military episodes in this ongoing war may be many years apart, and of short duration when they occur, but when they are not taking place the war reverts to one of peaceful competition in which the weapons may be those of economics or propaganda but the aim and overall direction are

Contd. overleaf

SOME CALL IT CONSPIRACY...

(Contd. from prev. page)

always political. Did not Clausewitz describe war as "politics by other means"?

The historian Correlli Barnett encapsulated this truth neatly when in his book *The Collapse of British Power* he contrasted continental nations' acceptance of this reality with Britain's neglect of it from the defeat of Napoleon onwards, saying:-

"... Other great powers did not see the world as one great human society, but — as the British had done up to the 19th century — as an arena where, subject to the mutual convenience of diplomatic custom, nation-states — the highest effective form of human society — competed for advantage. They did not believe in a natural harmony among mankind but in national interests that might sometimes coincide with the interests of others, sometimes conflict. It followed that they considered that relations between states were governed not by law, nor even by moral principle, but by power and ambition restrained only by prudent calculation and a sense of moderation. War therefore, in their view, was not a lamentable breakdown of a natural harmony called peace, but an episode of violence in a perpetual struggle..."

The only thing that perhaps needs adding to this is that the 'perpetual struggle' of which Mr. Barnett speaks occurs not only between nation-states in the form that he refers to them but also between interest groups which may operate within, and across, the boundaries of nation-states. These interest groups may be economic, religious, political, ethnic — or a combination of any of the four. They may indeed constitute nations without a state that can be defined in the form of a specific territory. They may be nations in possession of one territory but nevertheless also living and operating within the territories of others. Other things being equal, ethnic nations are always much more potent forces, man for man, than nations which define themselves, as for instance the United States of America does, merely in terms of certain geographical borders, political institutions, documents of citizenship and laws.

Given that nations, in this broader meaning that has been given to them, are engaged in what Mr. Barnett has called "a perpetual struggle" — that is to say they are for ever, in one form or another, 'at war' — it follows that in the non-military phases of that war their weapons are going to include those of deception just as those same weapons are going to be used on the field of battle. We do not register pained surprise, least of all outrage, if a commander in a military conflict makes a tactical feint so as to make his enemy think he is about to attack on his right flank whereas his real plan is to do so on his left. Deception is an enormous part of any effective campaign of war. It includes the feeding of false intelligence to the enemy and making the enemy believe one's own forces are much stronger than they are (for instance, Admiral Duncan's action in frightening the Dutch in the Texel by sending bogus signals to his non-existent fleet). At the very least, the practice includes keeping from the enemy one's own vital secrets. Besides deception, the weapons of war incorporate propaganda assaults on the enemy's morale (these days usually described as psychological warfare) and demoralisation by intimidation (the Allied bombing of civilian targets in World War II).

We accept these things in a shooting war as the norm, so why should we not expect that they will occur in a political war — and with ever-increasing sophistication as the technology which extends the range of their possibilities is developing all the time?

So are we not, when we talk of 'conspiracy', merely referring to the conduct of political war using the same methods of deception, disinformation and psychological warfare as are commonplace in military conflicts?

And when some people talk of a 'conspiracy' operated by a particular ethnic group, are they not merely acknowledging that that group is waging political war using the same weapons as are available to be used by — and are used by — other groups or nations, but is merely doing so much more skilfully, resourcefully and ruthlessly, largely by virtue of much better planning and organisation.

The plan to kill Caesar was a conspiracy, but is hardly ever spoken of as such by conspiracy specialists. The conspirators were Caesar's fellow Romans, not the ethnic group usually thought of in connection with conspiratorial activities. The Gunpowder Plot to blow up Parliament was a conspiracy, in this case with the conspirators being Englishmen; but it does not figure in conspiracy anthology.

ORGANISATION FOR VICTORY

Great military nations are nations that are not just brave and skilful on the field of battle. They are nations that at every level of national life are organised, educated and led in accordance with the need, when and where necessary, to provide men and weapons of the calibre to win wars.



The Gunpowder Plot. This was a conspiracy by Englishmen which had nothing to do with causes normally associated with conspiracy theories.

Likewise, nations that are formidable in the wider sense — embracing all those attributes needed for success in the global struggle for power — need to be psychologically prepared for the victorious conduct of all the activities involved in that struggle. Most important in this preparation is possession of a passionate and uncompromising nationalism. Indeed it is the starting point from which every other attribute develops.

If and when we British can develop this attitude, we will not need any longer to talk of 'conspiracies' against us; we will simply accept calmly that other nations are competing with us in a global struggle and that which weakens us in this struggle *ipso facto* strengthens them. From this it follows that we will be on our guard against any tendency promoted within our own national body that has a potentially weakening effect, and will strike at it firmly the moment it manifests itself — whether those who can be detected promoting it belong to this nation-state, that ethnic group or whatever.

If a particular nation or race is, by methods of subterfuge and secrecy — if you like, 'conspiracy' — carrying out activities that are gravely weakening Britain, that is our fault, not theirs. If they are succeeding in their quest, we should not be discussing their diabolism so much as our own stupidity and feebleness. If we were organised, educated, informed and led as we should be, if we thought about our nation the way we ought to think about it, if we were properly armed as a nation — politically, morally, psychologically, spiritually and culturally, their subterfuge/secrecy/conspiracy against us would not even get off the ground. It would therefore be a non-issue.

Frederick the Great was claimed once to have defined a 'liberal' as a person who did not believe in conspiracies. This gets precisely to the point. The true liberal never thinks about power, and he cannot conceive a world in which struggles for power between national and other groups are the norm. Therefore a society governed according to liberal values is the very worst equipped to deal with internal subversion of kind that operates by methods of conspiracy. The most important armament against conspiracy is that we rid ourselves of liberal illusions. If this is achieved, there will not be a conspiracy to talk about.

Cont. on next page

TOTAL POWER A MYTH

And it is this that brings me to the final and vitally important point of this analysis. The forces that threaten our nation from within and without, whether you like to call them a 'conspiracy' or give them other names, are not omnipotent. They do not control everything. They are not even all that clever. On the contrary, they are in many ways very incompetent — I have seen evidence of this on many occasions in my political life: situations in which our enemies, if they were as powerful and as able as some of us credit them with being, could have dealt us much more damaging blows than they have. So as not to help them, I will not specify these instances. But, I can assure you, they have been frequent.

These forces that threaten us have been immensely successful in the past, not because of their strength, but because of our weakness. It is the way that Britain thinks, believes, lives and does things that has enabled this 'conspiracy' (or 'conspiracies') to succeed. Correct these national weaknesses, and we can hurl these enemies back. Believe me, they are not nearly so formidable as our 'conspiracy' doctrines make them!

And they are becoming less formidable by the day and by the hour.

This is happening because, as has been said in previous articles in these columns, the world they have constructed is falling apart. Built on no solid base of popular belief, dependent for its existence on an ever-bigger structure of demonstrable lies, and in conflict with the entire natural order, it was bound eventually to do so.

One particular example of our enemies' lack of the total control with which they are often attributed is the way in which they are no longer able to regulate the content of the press. Newspaper articles which in one way or another oppose their one-world schemes are now coming in a flood. Their one-time hack journalists who a few years ago were willing to toe their line with total subservience are today no longer so subservient. And there are signs that the same thing is beginning to happen in the world of book-publishing: titles are now appearing regularly, and getting reviews, which they most certainly would like to ban if they could.

There has not been a time since the end of World War II when the empire of the globalists — referred to by some as the 'conspirators' — was so shaky.

Does all this mean that there is no such thing as a conspiracy? Indeed has the word 'conspiracy' become redundant? The answer must be no to both questions.

There is a great deal of conspiracy in the world, and it is still dangerous. But it is neither all-powerful nor monolithic. Different groups conspire in pursuit of different things; sometimes, where their aims point in the same direction, they coalesce; sometimes, when those aims diverge, they work against each other.

The main point about conspiracy, however, is that like every complex organism it appears in a different light when examined from different angles. Looked at from one angle, it is seen as some gigantic and diabolical scheme to subvert nations, carried out from a single directing source, being completely consistent in its purpose and with the power to make happen everything of importance that has been happening in the last century or so — the 'Learned Elders' safely in control.

Looked at from another angle, the whole thing appears in different perspective. It comes across to us simply as a struggle for power — as political warfare waged by use, among other things, of the weapons of deception; something that has not changed over many thousands of years. The players of the game have changed as powers have risen and fallen; but the game itself has always been with us.

In so far as conspiracy undoubtedly exists, it is permissible to talk of conspiracy — and I for one will continue to do so on occasions when that word is called for. The danger occurs when the word is over-used and when the theme of conspiracy becomes an obsession — as when 'they' are thought to be watching us every moment of the time and when every act of opposition to us is assumed to have 'their' hand behind it.

So let us not argue with Mr. Curteis when he says that the evils that he sees on television do not amount to a 'conspiracy'. They most certainly do amount to warfare — warfare that, if it is not countered with the greatest vigour, will assuredly destroy us.

REPATRIATION: ANOTHER VIEW

Conclusion of a two-part essay by TONY LECOMBER

REPATRIATION: THE MECHANICS

THE NEW Ministry for Repatriation would from the beginning, be involved with, firstly the identification and then the categorising of ethnic minorities to identify those for priority repatriation. Every family and every individual would be graded as to their priority for repatriation, with the aim of keeping families together. Clearly, those on a high priority rating for repatriation would have the earliest departure dates.

Individual Notice To Quit (NTQ) papers would go out to all individuals and would take the form of a credit card with personal details held on the back by magnetic strip or chip and which would be required to be in personal possession at all times for production upon request by the police and for claiming or receiving any benefits. Non-production would lead to arrest and a deduction made from that person's financial package at the discretion of the local magistrates.

The priority rating would be worked out for a whole family, although NTQ papers would be issued individually. Those families where the majority are on benefit and/or family members have criminal convictions would be at the very top of the list for the

earliest repatriation dates.

There could, however, be no question of cutting benefits to ethnic minorities on income support prior to their repatriation. The reason for this is twofold. Firstly, the people in such a situation would be unable to leave without assistance — the financial package. Secondly, what would they do to survive? It is no good taking a callous view of things. It is neither sound politics, nor is it realistic if we hope to accomplish our repatriation policy without a public relations disaster — both at home and abroad.

What we can say though, is that ethnic minorities receiving benefit would be at the very top of the list to be repatriated along with immigrant criminals — within the first year. These would be the people whose repatriation papers would be processed first, and fairly quickly and efficiently since all the information would be readily available on computer files — either at the Department of Social Security (DSS) or at New Scotland Yard.

As to the question of racially mixed couples, the party has made its position very clear. We neither want the racially alien parent, the mixed-race offspring nor the white renegade responsible for such offspring. They can all

go. Legislation will be passed to compel the deportation of the white side of such sickening alliances. Only in the case of rape victims will such measures not apply, but any offspring must go. These too would receive a priority departure date.

Another high-priority category for repatriation would be all those resident in the UK whose immigration/'refugee' status was under consideration by the previous Home Secretary. All these immigrants could be quickly repatriated (within two months) and in consequence of their short-lived residential status receive a minimal £500 per head resettlement grant. While those held in detention centres could be repatriated even more quickly — within the first two weeks.

PREPARATIONS AT PORTS

Every port and airport would have special areas designed for the relinquishing and exchange of passports and NTQ cards, with a notice of repatriation containing full personal details confirming such relinquishment and confirming also the person's receipt of his or her resettlement package, the issue of a cheque cashable abroad and if at all possible, in cooperation with the destination country, the

Contd. overleaf

REPATRIATION: ANOTHER VIEW

(Contd. from prev. page)

issue of a new passport of the destination country. In the event of individual immigrant non-cooperation, senior immigration officials on the spot would simply sign the papers for the people concerned and present them with their cheques, papers and new passports.

Since the speed of air travel would dictate that most immigrants return by air, the flight companies would need to ensure that they have sufficient extra aircraft and aircrews for the purpose. The number of flights to Africa, the Caribbean and the Indian sub continent would obviously leap dramatically and create a mini-boom for aircraft-leasing companies as new aircraft are unlikely to be built to cover such a temporary surge in business.

Because of the likelihood that the people affected would experience problems selling up their possessions — particularly houses and even cars — it is almost certain that the new Ministry for Repatriation would be forced to become involved with, or even set up its own, auction houses. The reason being that ethnic minorities could hardly be expected to leave with little prospect of selling their property for years afterwards, and have to use what would then be remote British agents to do so; while, if they had to wait around to sell their property before leaving, this could well take forever. Quick sales through auction houses therefore would need to be effected and the proceeds in cash or cheque given over to the owner to facilitate repatriation. This involvement with auction houses is not only logical but probably even inevitable. Consider. Today, the current housing market is static. Should between 400,000-875,000 properties (based upon an ethnic minority total of between five and seven million, four people per family and one-third to half of all these owning their own properties) come on to the market more or less at once, prices would go into free fall and provide a giveaway for first-time home buyers. But it would also give rise to large-scale negative equity, both amongst immigrants themselves and amongst

our own people, causing widespread misery and chaos. Government would, therefore, at these auctions have to be a buyer of last resort and would have to undertake not to let property prices fall too far. These properties could then be sold off gradually or even given over to lettings and rented long-term. The latter is, I suggest, the most likely option, since (a) It would take the properties out of the housing market and arrest, at least to some extent, falling property prices, and (b) It would provide a massive shot in the arm for local authorities, which could then resolve their homeless situation overnight (particularly after council accommodation previously let to immigrants is anyway made vacant in the wake of the repatriation programme). All of this is an aspect of repatriation policy which has been mostly overlooked, primarily because the motivation for us is ideological, not economic or financial. Nevertheless, these questions must be addressed since, if we are to move closer to being a political party that takes itself, and is taken by others, seriously; then we must have answers. We must have thought everything through.

ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS

I would not claim this essay as a definite blueprint. Merely as the basis for further thought and discussion. After all, what are the implications for government tax revenues, for stability of employment and continuity of production, for consumption and business activity as a whole? These points could justify entire essays in themselves.

Certainly, there are plus points easily argued. Environmental and quality-of-life indicators would improve. Less people means less overcrowding, less pollution, less traffic congestion and less pressure on public transport. Additionally, we are all aware of the beneficial effect that would be gained from greatly reduced crime figures, drug importation/trafficking and social tension, as well as the end of homelessness and unemployment. All well and good. The point I make, is that we have to have all the answers on this, our most controversial policy, otherwise we shall not be credible as a party and will not be taken seriously.

There will of course, for the racially conscious, be one very interesting question and that is "what about those of mixed blood who might otherwise pass for white — and who are living singly?" (ie. they are not living in a family setting where they might otherwise be identified). There have been several cases reported in papers, although admittedly rare, concerning babies born to mixed parents where the baby has the appearance of being totally white, even classically Nordic-looking. The answer here is the stick and carrot approach again. Firstly, the same financial package should be offered to the unfortunates previously mentioned, second it should be made clear that there is no hope of escape since there would be departmental cross-referencing of all records of births, marriages and deaths and that in the final analysis, a reward could be put up to the general public simply to smoke out those who thought they'd got away with it.

Completion of stage one should be possible within 12-18 months, by which time all ethnic minority unemployables and criminals would have been repatriated along with their families — possibly involving 750,000-1,500,000 plus those repatriated voluntarily, possibly involving another 50,000-100,000. Total so far: 800,000-1,600,000.

STAGE TWO

The first thing to mention here is that stage one and stage two are not distinct. Rather, they are seamless and merge one into the other. The only difference between them is that the programme was initiated in stage one and that the people repatriated in stage one were those who were the biggest economic drain and the most socially disruptive element within the ethnic minority community. Stage two therefore is simply moving on to cover those in work and making some contribution to the economy and whose NTQ date was later than the priority repatriation cases. As such, stage two is simply no more than a mark of progress.

This is the stage at which any economic/public service dislocation could occur if planning by management has not been thorough. Since, as productive ethnic minority members are withdrawn from the workforce through repatriation, economic dislocation could obviously occur if forward planning has been weak. To avoid this possibility, managements will have had to prepare replacement personnel and their training or rationalisation or increased automation to cover the gap. Whereas a close watch would have to be kept upon ethnic minority/red inspired social unrest in stage one, in stage two it would be the economy and the public services that would most need to be monitored. Additionally, because of the timescale of the operation, it is quite likely that a number of ethnic minority people would, before their NTQ date of departure, lose their jobs/resign and make a nonsense of their original non-priority classification. There should therefore be the facility for the new Ministry to issue fresh dates of departures and thus bring a date forward to relieve the taxpayer of this extra burden at an earlier date than first envisaged.

Stage two, the repatriation of the economically active, non-criminal elements

Contd. on page 17



Come an all-white Britain, street parties like this will be held nationwide to celebrate

STATING THE OBVIOUS

ROBERT HORNBY describes how political dissidents are persecuted by the 'liberal' establishment

MOST of us are familiar with the Hans Christian Andersen tale of the Emperor's new clothes.

The story illustrates how difficult it can be for an individual to declare an obvious truth when the rest of society finds it more comfortable to live with a falsehood. In this case, the Emperor's subjects were well aware of their ruler's nakedness, but were too afraid of the political consequences to admit to the fact.

POLITICAL ORTHODOXY

This age-old dilemma was neatly summed up by George Bernard Shaw's remark that every period of history has its own political orthodoxy which we may ignore at our peril.

One man who came face to face with the 'truth problem' was Galileo Galilei, who in 1632 published a book with the rather shocking proposition that the Earth orbited the Sun. This theory, based on observations through the newly-invented telescope, was in direct contradiction to the 'politically correct' view that the Sun and the other planets revolved around the Earth.

The Catholic church at the time held the view that as God had taken a special interest in the fate of Mankind He must surely have placed the Earth at the centre of the Cosmos.

The political problem for the church was that if Galileo's theory gained credence it would knock a very large hole in the credibility of the Catholic doctrine. If such a central tenet of its faith was proved false, then doubt would be cast upon the whole Christian religion and therefore on the political legitimacy of the Pope and his bishops.


The political Establishment of the day reacted in typical fashion: Galileo was summoned before the Inquisition and thrown into jail. He was released on condition that he ceased to publicise his ideas on planetary motion, and warned that further dissidence would incur torture and even death.

Perhaps understandably, Galileo chose to remain silent from then on, but within a couple of decades the evidence of other scientists became so overwhelming that the church was forced to concede the truth.

The Establishment reacted in a similar fashion two hundred years later, when Charles Darwin published his *Origin of the Species*. Once again, a central tenet of Christianity in this case Creation was demolished. Although Darwin was not threatened with torture, he came under considerable political, social and financial pressure to retract.

In our own century, the new 'religion' is liberalism. This is the orthodoxy which underpins the corrupt political systems of the West, and until recently was also the mainstay of the former communist régimes in the East.

In the former Soviet Union, Alexander Solzhenitsyn stands out as arguably the bravest



GALILEO facing the Inquisition

opponent of communist tyranny. For speaking out against the régime, he was fired from his job, socially ostracised, physically assaulted, and finally thrown into a concentration camp. Ironically, Solzhenitsyn says he found the Soviet state less threatening from the inside than the Western countries did from the outside. To the outside world, the USSR appeared gigantically powerful, with a huge military arsenal and a formidable apparatus of internal repression which included the ruthless KGB and the controlled media.

IMPENDING COLLAPSE

Yet on the inside, the dissidents could see that the communist system was already crumbling because, like our own system, it was built on lies. Eventually the lies became so preposterous that, just as in Hans Andersen's fairy tale, the populace declared that the Emperor had no clothes. Almost overnight, the entire communist world collapsed like a house of cards.

The parallels with our own system are obvious. Just like the communist countries, the Western nations are in a state of impending collapse. Our economies have gone into multiple-dip recession; immigration is destroying the social cohesion of our communities; violent crime and anarchy are the result of the moral vacuum created by failed political systems.

The impending collapse of the old order is being manifested in a number of ways which recall the previous collapse of the inter-war years. In the USA, just as in the Weimar Germany of the 1920s, powerful militias and private armies are springing up to confront a corrupt government. In Western Europe, nationalist political movements are gaining widespread support, and in the case of France and Austria are now serious contenders for power. In Russia, the situation is even more like that of Germany in the 1920s, as the economy has completely collapsed, boosting the strength of Vladimir Zhirinovsky.

Even in Britain, the political climate is

finally swinging towards nationalism.

We too are still plagued by what British National Party leader John Tyndall calls 'the cancer of liberalism' but it is a cancer that finally shows signs of weakening.

In his book, *The Eleventh Hour*, John Tyndall demolishes the liberal dogmas so comprehensively, and so easily, that it makes one wonder how anyone could have been hoodwinked by the Left in the first place.

DISSIDENTS

The answer of course lies in the power of state repression that the Left is able to use. Just as in the Soviet Union, potential dissidents in Britain are threatened first with social ostracism and loss of their jobs; then with physical violence and/or imprisonment.

Just like Galileo or Solzhenitsyn before him, John Tyndall was thrown into jail for publishing ideas considered 'dangerous' by the liberal state.

Tyndall and *British Nationalist* editor John Morse were imprisoned, not for carrying out violent acts, or even threatening violence, but simply for publishing their opinions on the inequality of races.

It is almost incredible that at the end of the twentieth century, after two and a half thousand years of Western history which has seen the rise of Greek and Roman civilisations, the cathedrals of the Middle Ages, the art and science of the Renaissance, the Industrial Revolution and the conquest of space, British citizens are being jailed for suggesting the achievements of white people are greater than those of others.

At the time when westerners were walking on the moon, there were primitive tribes elsewhere in the world who had not even discovered the wheel; yet this truth is regarded as so 'dangerous' by the Establishment that the drawing of any conclusions from it can result in imprisonment.

In such a corrupt political system, 'stating the obvious' can have dire consequences indeed.

CYNICAL MONEY-GRABBERS, SOCIAL MISFITS AND WELFARE-STATE CRY-BABIES

Observations of the opposition, by a newly gained convert.

YES! You've guessed correctly. The subject of this article is Britain's contemporary Anarchist/left-wing groups and the kind of people that fill their ranks.

What is the nature of the beast? The beast being Britain's 'comrades' in the *proletarian revolutionary movement*. I write about these groups and their followers as someone who was formerly active in various well-known ultra left-wing groups. I am now a dedicated nationalist active in the British National Party. Funny thing is, aside from race, my politics have hardly changed at all.

How did this come to be? Before I got caught up in the sub-culture of ultra left-wing politics, I was a lonely, confused young man eager to find the answers. I found life in the hard, unforgiving streets of East London where I grew up to be a soul-destroying experience. Unemployment, poverty, slum housing, violence and despair were all around. Then I discovered the ultra-left by purchasing a publication from a notorious left-wing group. Its message of bitterness against the establishment and wanting a just society struck a chord in me and I soon became active.

The thrill of my new 'friends' in the movement and the excitement of street activities soon had me addicted. Always new adventures — paper sales, stickers, marches and demos. It felt great to be part of a big march, and I saw at first hand lefties terrorize the innocent public at marches and demonstrations, swearing, screaming and shouting at them, throwing bricks and bottles and smashing windows. But as the months passed, the novelty of activities wore off as they became routine, and I began to look deeper into what I was doing and who I was doing it with.

Many young men, particularly the unemployed, are attracted to these types of organization. They don't care much or at all for a group's political objectives; they simply wish to associate with other losers and terrorize society, I didn't. And I realised that the left is totally negative — against everything but *for* nothing — simply spouting endless fine-sounding clichés along with unintelligible, meaningless dialectic. Left-wing politics are all about excuses. No-one is to blame for anything. We are all exonerated from any responsibility for our actions because we shift the 'blame' to various scapegoats. Favourite left-wing scapegoats are racism, sexism, the

government, the economy, the environment, society, parents, teachers and just about everything else. Thus the liberal left-wing establishment sends thugs who 'joy-ride' cars and mug the elderly on safari holidays instead of publicly birching them — which is the most obvious and probably most effective solution. After all, crime is almost non-existent in Thailand, which strictly enforces both capital and corporal punishment.

Most followers of the *proletarian revolutionary movement* are single, white, middle-class males, under-achieving students, public sector employees, drop outs and alternative life-stylers (far out man!) — the spoilt, pampered offspring of the cosseted middle classes. Thus they have little or no influence in working class areas, despite the left's claim that it is the champion of the proles. In fact, most lefties are universally despised by the working class, treated with either utter contempt or complete indifference.

I rapidly became aware of this while I was in the ultra left, and I grew ever more disillusioned with the cause. On odd occasions, I voiced my concerns in various left-wing circles only to be met with hysterical and irrational hostility. They were terrified of what I told them — that to the workers the far left is irrelevant. Left-wingers dread this truth more than anything else.

Most lefties dismiss the growing support for nationalism, especially in working class communities, as simply being due to the proles' ignorance or lack of education. Such is the contempt that lefties have for those they claim to champion! I can remember Joe Reilly, the low-key general of Anti Fascist Action (pro status-quo action is more like it) give a speech at a South London public meeting in Woolwich on the theme that the BNP were gaining ground because the heroic left-wing rebels had failed to subvert the horrid capitalist state. It didn't occur to him that the BNP has been gaining ground because the left has proven to be a complete disaster in theory and practice, whereas nationalism holds out the prospect of societal peace, prosperity and a return to sane values and certainties.

My conversion from the left to the nationalist movement involved little ideological change beyond that of race. The left is made up of losers, with a lost, discredited cause despised by everyone including the working class. Nationalism is a cause growing in popularity, promoted by a better type of person and with widespread acceptance beyond the BNP's voter base, in other words, there is room to grow. I was never that taken with all that the left had to say, and the only thing that I have taken on board is race. Oddly enough, quite a few lefties don't care too much for multi-racialism, although of

course they all have to pay lip service to it. I still want to improve the living conditions of our people, especially the most vulnerable in our society — the sick, the old, the disabled, the unemployed and our youth — all of whose lives are made a misery because of the terrible mess in which liberalism has left our country. I know that I'm not the first far-left convert to the BNP, and I'll not be the last either.

And as for the 'comrades' of the ultra-left? As a force for change they are finished, and they know it. Their only role now is to defend the status-quo by opposing nationalism and its main vehicle, the BNP. So-called 'Anti Fascism' is their only real purpose for existing anymore.

LINKS TO ESTABLISHMENT

So close is the relationship between the liberal-establishment and the far left that virtually all trades unions and Labour party members of Parliament are token sponsors and spokespersons (little bit of PC there!) for ANAL (Anti Nazi League), AFA (Anti Fascist Action) or ANAL's bitchy rival, ARA (Anti Racist Alliance). Most well known MPs in this role are Tony Benn and Diane Abbot and their like. There are many front groups. The SWP (Socialist Workers Party) has ANAL, Militant Labour has YRE (Youth against Racism in Europe) and then there is ARA. The common factor? They're all in it for the money. Socialism and the far-left cause is dead; long live anti-racism! It's all they have left that they perceive to be popular and which, incidentally, **can make them money!** That, fellow nationalists, is what 'anti-racism' is all about. It's why all of the far left groups seem to have their very own 'anti-racist' front, why the left groups are bickering and even fighting each other and why they won't unite. They all want the money. In particular, it's why they all hate ANAL — because the SWP have cornered the market in 'anti-racism'.

Many *Guardian*-reading middle-class liberals see running a left-wing group as a good career move. After all, the jobs pay well. Julie Waterson, PR person for ANAL, turned down a much higher salary to take ANAL's miserable £20,000 + p.a. pittance (though no doubt this will stand her in good stead in the future). "Steering committee" members of ANAL get a modest £20,000 per year while ARA's commissioners get even more loot. Then there is the suspected undeclared income derived from the massive ANAL merchandising operations. SWP commissioners Paul Holborrow, Tony Cliff and Pat Stack do very nicely from their party (probably some £30,000 per year, judging by how much they pay their secretaries), while Paul 'the greedy one' Foot picks up his income variously from freelance news/article-writing, ANAL and the SWP and uses both of these to advertise his literary outpourings, boosting his income still further. Yes, life's really tough when you're a socialist champion of the working classes!

Success in running a far-left group opens up endless lucrative positions to the aspiring lefty. Many end up in the Labour or Liberal Democrat parties, where no doubt their commitment to the cause will win them high office or, at the very least, safe parliamentary seats. But it doesn't end there. There are well-paid, cushy jobs in the BBC and in local authorities as Lesbian-outreach liaison co-ordinators at £33,000 p.a. all for the taking.

Raising funds is important in all organisations
Contd. on next page



Comrades, quick. Someone wants to buy a paper!

but few groups are as obsessed with the procuring of finances as Militant Labour. Having purchased a copy of *Militant* at a march against the closure of an AIDS clinic in Hemel Hempstead, I flicked through the pages. I was shocked to find that I had not yet even heard of most of the funds Militant Labour were currently operating, and ashamed when I realized I hadn't contributed to any. When I got home, I decided to choose from the seventeen different fund-raising projects advertised and contribute to the three causes I felt were most important.

My final choices were to give £10 each to the 'Bosnian Conflict Anti-Imperialist Solidarity Fund' (cheques payable to the personal account of one of *Militant's* national officers); I also gave to the 'Administration and Clerical Support Fund' (cheques payable to the personal account of a different national officer of *Militant*) And my final choice was to give to the 'Poverty in Florida Research Fund,' which deeply moved me.

One of *Militant Labour's* executives intended to visit Florida for two weeks with the money raised and research poverty in Florida and Miami. Who can fail to be moved by such kindness?

LEFT-WING ACTIVITIES

Perhaps the single most important factor in the track record of the left is the good organization with which its activities are planned and executed. A classic example of a well planned campaign in Southall by ANAL takes some beating. A rally was to be held in a prominent town centre. Afterwards the group planned to disperse in small groups to leaflet the area. Simple but effective! A short but well-attended rally went off without mishap in Southall and ANAL then proceeded to leaflet hundreds of local homes with 'don't join the BNP leaflets. It didn't seem to occur to them that Southall is perhaps the area of London most heavily settled by Asians and that almost all the homes leafleted were Asian. Still, better safe than sorry!

Rivalry between RA (Red Action) and the 'well-hard' Anarchist boozing-club, DAM (the Direct Action Movement) was very intense about two years ago before DAM collapsed as a national organization. Both are extreme macho groups who try and present a heavy street-fighting image. At the time, both were involved in AFA. Although RA dominated AFA and was desperate to retain control, DAM was equally determined to seize control of AFA from RA for itself and form a new leadership. Thus the scene was set for a vicious sectarian clash between the partners of AFA — RA and DAM. AFA had mobilised in North London to oppose a heavily publicised BNP activity. The BNP, however, had eluded AFA by a switch of area and the 'comrades' retired to a nearby pub to drown their sorrows.

It just so happened that AFA's turn-out on the day was mostly from RA and DAM. As drinking got underway, both groups began to argue over which faction was responsible for their fiasco-like attempt to oppose the BNP activity. Tempers flared, voices were raised and soon a full-scale punch up between RA and DAM broke out as the rivals turned on each other. A trashed pub, 37 arrests and 15 AFA 'comrades' requiring hospital treatment was the result of this impressive display of unity by the British left.

I remember Dave from North London Red Action explaining to me in a Kings Cross pub why he utterly hated the BNP and British Nationalism and yet fanatically supported the IRA and Irish Nationalism. "I hate the British," sneered Dave. "My parents come from Dublin." The nearest Dave had ever been to Ireland was drinking Guinness in MacGuire's pub in Kilburn! This, though, is a 'racism' that is tolerated in left-wing groups, as is the black and Asian kind.

Paranoia about internal security causes no little amount of headache in left-wing circles. A public meeting in Kensington and Chelsea held by the Revolutionary Communist Party (RCP) addressed this problem boldly. Of the twenty



LEFTIES

Adrian, second left and sick of being misidentified as O'Farrel is a long way from his classroom, here as AFA/YRE linkman. While Stephen, right of freak selling paper, looks well again after qualifying for RA football of the year award.

people present, over half were on the door ready to prevent Special Branch, Press and 'Nazi hit squads', or whatever, gatecrashing the event.

They need not have bothered. The meeting was so boring that I began to wonder quietly if the heavies on the door were there to make sure no one got out rather than that no one got in!

Any potential enemies of the RCP invading or infiltrating the meeting would have been bored to death by the monotonous rant of the bearded one who lectured the meeting.

When the meeting ended, I had to resist an extremely tempting bargain. A 'mature student' and RCP activist running the merchandise stall had recorded the entire meeting on tape and offered to sell me a copy for a tenner!

ATTACKING THEIR OWN SIDE

Although perhaps the incident that best conjures up the character of the left was a clash between the SWP and the 'BNP' in Camden during the months before the 1992 General Election.

I along with perhaps 25-30 other 'comrades', mostly SWP/ANAL and a few Anarchists along for the fun, had been scouting for a BNP group who were putting up stickers on a well-known council estate in North Camden after a local Asian ANAL supporter had tipped us off.

We spotted three young men with short haircuts wandering through the concrete sprawl of the estate and followed them for perhaps half an hour. Finally we decided to beat them up, and armed with baseball bats we charged them. Terrified, they ran at our approach and soon lost us (OK, I admit I was wrong. But when you're on the other side these things happen).

In the process, one of them dropped a plastic carrier bag. It was full of neatly folded AFA leaflets urging locals not to join the 'neo-Nazi' BNP. Well, anyone can make a mistake, even lefties. After all, they did have short haircuts! Incidentally, these AFA types are the ones who, despite all their big talk, go out stickering in groups of ten upwards and if only six show for this activity, they cancel it on grounds of security. Real working class heroes!

I recall a particularly amusing incident when I was leafleting council estates in Bethnal Green

and Stepney (East London) with a group of ANAL (Anti Nazi League) members. Richard, an ANAL steward warned us to be careful as we were in 'enemy territory' and a lot of people were 'Nazi sympathisers'. "Just look at the graffiti," said our heroic commissar. Funnily enough, just an hour into the leafleting session we had to run for our lives when the locals went full tilt for us.

Of course, not all left-wingers are attacked in working class areas. Some are verbally abused as well! When I was out in Southwark, South London leafleting a block of high rise flats with some SWP members, a group of irate local men began to swear at us. We were all terrified as the outraged local residents surrounded us outside the lifts — until an old lady struggling with her heavy shopping appeared on the scene. "Leave them alone Mick," she scolded one of her neighbours. "They don't mean any harm; they're only students." Oh, the shame and embarrassment we all felt!

Then again, not all lefties are milksops — some can be quite vicious. When I was visiting an Anarchist 'comrade's' house once, a group of them were busy in the living room all folding *Class War* newspaper. Suddenly violence ensued! Dan, of Bristol *Class War*, and his boyfriend Olly had an embarrassing lover's tiff in front of the rest of us. We all looked away in shock as Dan slapped Olly around his face and spitefully screamed at him "Don't tell me you haven't slept with Robert because I found your diary last night, you bitch!" Dan and Olly produce all of *Class War's* propaganda, so this unhappiness, you can imagine, severely affected the group's output.

I myself had an unhappy outcome to a relationship at this time. It was a romance with an attractive young lady of impressive middle-class pedigree who was active in various 'Animal Rights' and 'Green' groups. Hillary broke down in tears one evening when I went to collect her from the squat she was staying at to go to our yoga class. She told me that it was all over between us! When I asked her why, she explained to me that I just wasn't sensitive towards her as a vegetarian and that I didn't express sufficient concern about the ozone layer, the Bhopal tragedy and Lesbian Theatre. OK, a slight exaggeration, I grant you, but you get the drift!

THE MATHEMATICAL IMPERATIVE

RICHARD WHITE examines how the advanced nations are losing the numbers battle

WESTERN civilisation is rushing towards death. A unique achievement, the most glorious and stupendous upon this planet, is now in a state of what could be terminal sickness. All that we have nurtured, cherished and attained over several millennia now disappears beneath an avalanche of transient pleasure and eager insanity. It seems that we prefer madness to miracles.

Let us not be tricked by the superficial glamour and glitter of this new age. Life in many ways appears more comfortable and diverse than what our forefathers experienced. Opportunities seem endless. But rational reflection demands that we should consider this as similar to the decorations on a Christmas tree. After the brief festive season, they are removed, and the tree, already dead, is consigned to the refuse collector. The present is all-important and the future forgotten. The perfectly appropriate motto is: "Live for today, for tomorrow we die."

How has the peril come about? Certainly not at once. On the contrary, our enemies have been busy for a long time. It seems that the Fabian doctrine of Gradualism, dominant in certain intellectual circles two or three generations ago, has assumed its most sinister implications. This strategy has strong parallels with the techniques of the skilful poisoner. Give the victim small doses over a protracted period of time, and he will be unaware of the culprit's intentions and, by the time that he does awaken it will be too late. The late Chinese Communist revolutionary Lin Piao once remarked that Asia, Africa and Latin America were rural areas which would eventually subjugate the urban or industrial places to the North, especially Europe and the United States. He added that to seek to prevent this would be as futile as "beating

back a fog with the hands." As things stand at present, his projection appears to be unfolding.

Central to this crisis is the demographic consideration. The Twentieth Century has witnessed the two most devastating sledgehammer blows to our civilisation. In two world wars, White man fought White man in conflicts which ended our supremacy on earth, concluded our imperial roles and placed us more firmly than ever at the pitiless mercy of International Finance. Worst of all, these battles destroyed unnumbered millions of our finest young men, robbing us of their talents and future contributions, as well as the offspring which would have been created had they lived. In both cases, we emerged weaker and smaller.

THE MIGHT OF EUROPE

A century ago, Europe was at the zenith of its might. Most of its nations had colonies overseas, with Great Britain possessing an empire of unprecedented dimensions. It would have been indisputably logical then to form a Grand European Alliance, where we would all work together for the common good. Joseph Chamberlain — perhaps our greatest Prime Minister who never was — argued that an alliance with Germany would be the most natural thing in the world. And an understanding between the Motherland and the Fatherland would have reaped immense benefits for both of us. As partners, we would have attained heights even greater than previously achieved. Just imagine what would have resulted had Britain, with its possession of the seas and the availability of huge colonial resources, seen eye to eye with Germany, which was the greatest land power at the time. Together we would have been invincible. No outward foe would have had the slightest

chance of doing us hurt or of disturbing our places in the Sun.

But things took a sharp turn for the worse. The Dual Alliance of France and Russia put Germany on the defensive. The *Entente Cordiale* between Britain and France made us, informally at least, an enemy of Germany. Naval rivalry and the Kaiser's occasionally provocative, though by no means truly threatening, speeches exacerbated the situation. However, such things were hardly a *casus belli*, unless of course there were certain back-stage forces looking for any opportunity, no matter how slight, to cause trouble.

Then, in August 1914, inexplicably and suddenly, the time-bomb exploded. Britain, having the least reason of all to fight, was one of the first to join in, invoking an obscure treaty going back over 80 years as the flimsiest of excuses. One cannot, even now, look back to the 1914-18 conflict without a feeling of complete amazement. Over this period, millions perished for no purpose whatsoever. Old military tactics combined with modern mechanised features to produce a bloodbath. Huge amounts of men were sent to certain death by generals who, mostly, had never heard a shot fired in anger. This evil folly is best illustrated by the Battle of the Somme, in 1916, where over a million men were killed, more than 400,000 being British. The gain was scarcely to make a dent in the German lines. By contrast, the conquest of the whole Indian sub-continent by the British Army had cost no more than 100 lives.

When it was all over, at least 10,000,000 men had perished. Europe was in a state of revolution, and Bolshevism firmly in place in Russia. Far from creating a better world, this war was the beginning of Armageddon. All

Contd. on next page

LOSS OF THE BEST



The four men pictured above were British officers, all killed in World War I in the prime of their young manhood, having only fathered one child between them. We are still suffering from the appalling genetic loss caused by this insane conflict. In the meantime, as Third World populations proliferate, governments of the advanced nations are doing their best to discourage large families. In this way, we are losing the battle for people. This must be reversed.

the participants lost, and only the Money Power triumphed. Much of the flower of European manhood had disappeared.

A NEW SLAUGHTER

But more was to come. An even bloodier conflict was, in the secret sessions of those who hold the real control, on the cards. Germany, whatever may be thought of her politics under Hitler, did not menace Britain. Hitler's aim was expansion in the East. Nevertheless, those who had contrived successfully to bring about the First World War were determined to bring a second one.

Our country was once more duped. This time the pretext was Poland, a nation which we had had little, if anything, to do with in the past, and which we could not possibly assist in 1939. Soon the whole world was again in flames, and a new and bigger butcher's list created. Another generation of Europeans went to its grave. Again, we fought for nothing and, soon after the fighting finished, we lost the Empire. We emerged even feebler and even more impoverished.

Now, in our own day, we suffer the consequences of these two genuine holocausts. But a far subtler and more devilishly cunning strategy is now being employed by those who seek our obliteration. This is conquest of the world by peaceful methods. In a nuclear age, full-scale war is out of the question. After all, the real culprits would perish with the rest of us! So a new programme is in full-swing. It is manifested in abortion and birth-control and, above all, in incessant non-white immigration to Europe. Because of this, the White Race progressively shrinks whilst the coloured population soars. What was formerly

gained through guns is now done through condoms, the murder of foetuses and the fanatical and hysterical pursuit of multi-racialism. This is why 'racism' has become the worst offence imaginable, and integration the new Transubstantiation of the present time.

Surely one does not need to be exceptionally bright to realise that if racial harmony is truly sought, then a Back-to-Africa policy is the logical answer. When races dwell apart, there is no danger of strife. But this is precisely what the world conquerors do not want. Whilst sternly retaining racial identity for themselves with all the ferocity of a religious creed, they vigorously promote a totally different policy for everyone else, in the satanic hope that they will sooner or later have complete masterdom over a hybrid flock without pride of ancestry or chance of posterity.

The whole of life is governed by mathematical laws. Given equality, numbers mean strength and security. Depletion means death. It is easily illustrated, too, within the record of history, that great men and remarkable talents rarely occur without a surplus of population. We need to produce many to find the few. Quantity must precede quality. To think otherwise is as crazy as to believe that one can dig for diamonds with a toothpick.

PROCREATION ESSENTIAL

Survival is serious business. Unless we procreate we disintegrate. The destruction of Rhodesia and South Africa furnished us with formidable examples. White populations in both cases were small, and had no hope of

overcoming the 'one man one vote' offensive. Consequently they collapsed. The Israelis, in stark contrast, prove far more pragmatic. All along, they ensured that the number of Jews in Palestine greatly exceeded the number of Arabs. As a result, the demands of democracy have never prevented the existence of an Israeli government. There is much to be learned from this; to be learned and utilised for the benefit of our own people.

What is to be done? Racial intermarriage must be condemned absolutely and an unwavering commitment made to restoring the once-homogenous nature of Great Britain as soon as the opportunities occur. Given the disintegrating state of current capitalism, that hour cannot be far away. Liberalism, in politics, the church, the universities and wherever else it expresses itself, must be exposed for the Bubonic Plague that it is. Aid to hostile and corrupt Third World countries must cease. Abortion must be proscribed and birth control persistently discouraged. War between kindred nations must be renounced for all time. Generous tax incentives must be given to encourage family life, and tax penalties introduced against those who pursue childless marriages. Feminism and homosexuality must be recognised as the filthy and deadly diseases which they are and be banished for good.

Let us not be deluded by the notion that we live in a peaceful world. It is the peace of the opium den today and that of the graveyard tomorrow. A Third World War (in more ways than one) is indeed being waged against us. Where foreign powers failed to subdue us in the past, the Men of Gold are winning day by day. Awaken!

WHO CONTROLS OUR GOVERNMENT?

Reprinted with acknowledgements to:- *On Target* 26 Meadow Lane, Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 6TO

SUCCESSIVE 'Conservative' governments since 1979, arguably the most financially, politically and morally corrupt of modern times, have pledged their troth to principally Rothschild financial power. This has taken place through the privatisation of state operations, as part of a long-term internationalising process, that are properly the inheritance of the electorate. In other words, these functions have been capitalised — 'mortgaged' — to stall the insatiable, centrifugal demands of bank-created debt against the populace. Moreover, a succession of politicians, such as Lord Wakeham, Lord Walker, Norman Lamont, Oliver Letwin and John Redwood have left for employment in Rothschild banks closely associated with privatisation.

It can be no coincidence that the Conservative Party is equally closely aligned with certain leading power brokers. This group, that seems to represent a remarkable confluence of practising and allegedly assimilated Anglo-Jewry, includes Alliance, Goldsmith, Hambros, Sieff, Sterling and Young (former cabinet minister, brother of the late Stuart Young,

former Chairman of the BBC). Can it be any coincidence either that, in addition to a remarkably high percentage of Jewish cabinet ministers and back-bench appointees over this period, a succession of unelected Anglo-Jews has been influential in Cabinet Office circles? Names such as Lord Victor Rothschild, Sir Alfred Sherman, David Hart, the late Shirley Letwin, Sir Peter Levene and Professor Martin Gilbert. Of these Hart is now collaborating with Jewish Secretary of State for Defence, Malcolm Rifkind, in the extremely damaging and demoralising 'reorganisation' of the Armed Forces. Gilbert is the official biographer of Sir Winston Churchill, who, prior to the 1939-45 War, was undoubtedly financially beholden to certain prominent Jews, such as Bernard Baruch and Sir Henry Strakosch. Gilbert appears not only to control the Churchill archives but to enjoy a remarkable pre-eminence over other biographers, more critical of Churchill's record, such as Dr. John Charmley and certainly the much vilified David Irving. One can only wonder why? The same pattern may be seen woven into the highest levels of the Arts. Who do we see here but a former Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the National Gallery, Lord Jacob Rothschild who now as Chairman of the National Heritage Memorial Fund, has



GILBERT
Controls the Churchill industry

just allocated £12,500,000 from the National Lottery for the purchase of the Chartwell Papers of Sir Winston Churchill: official biographer — Martin Gilbert!

THE PARTY THAT CALLS ITSELF LABOUR

MATTHEW ARMITAGE looks at Britain's probable future government

THE most surprising element of the current volatile political scene is that a party which clearly has as little to offer as Labour should be so far ahead in opinion polls.

Labour gives the impression that when it is returned to power more money will be spent on the NHS, education, public transport, social security and job-creation schemes, to name just a small number. This can only be done by heavy increases in taxation or borrowing or cuts in spending elsewhere.

Labour has recently been attacking the Conservatives because of their increased taxation since the last General Election. At the same time, Labour is preparing to raise taxes on 'the rich' despite Blair's denials of further tax increases. During Kinnock's unsuccessful 1992 election campaign 'the rich' were defined as anyone earning over thirty thousand a year. This has now been reduced to anyone earning over twenty

thousand a year. No doubt when, or if, Labour get in office it'll fall still further.

Labour has always hit motorists very hard when it has been in office and has made it abundantly clear that it wants more use of public transport. Labour-controlled councils are proposing costly schemes to introduce 'light railways' and 'park-and-ride' facilities. No doubt there'll be heavy increases in duty on petrol to 'encourage' road users to make use of public transport.

Since they've been in office, the Conservatives have cut back drastically on public spending, so Labour has few further options for savings. Its recent proposals for reductions have included the royal family, road building and waste in government departments. The last two have already been implemented by the Conservatives — which caused them to jeer at Labour for stealing their policies.

When Labour was quizzed during the 1992 General Election campaign as to where the money was coming from to pay for its extravagances, party leaders could only waffle vaguely about "more money being available when the economy reflates." As the economy hasn't reflated, we would probably be still waiting for the implementation of its proposals.

Labour's last government from 1974-1979 was a complete shambles from beginning to end. Inflation reached 27 per cent; Prime Minister Callaghan was forced to beg for a massive loan from international bankers, and hundreds of thousands were thrown out of work. All the time Labour's left wing ran riot proposing all manner of crazy legislation. The left's answer to the government's problems was simple: "We must cut defence spending and adopt true socialist (i.e. communist) policies."

A few years ago the Conservatives

announced cuts in defence spending following the collapse of the Warsaw Pact. Yet Labour protested loudly at the resulting job losses! It will be interesting to see whether Labour will introduce even greater cuts in defence spending!

MANY PROBLEMS

Labour has many other problems to be face besides financial ones. It has been attacking the Conservative record on crime, but what exactly it is going to do to try and counter the current crime wave isn't clear. All it can talk about is "job creation schemes" (where's the money coming from?), since the theory is that crime is a result of high unemployment. Labour has always been soft on criminals, so we certainly can't expect any tough measures.

Some Conservatives have become disillusioned with the EEC — which Labour has been quick to exploit, claiming Tories're split on the issue. The truth is that Labour is equally as divided, only its own anti-EEC elements are keeping quiet.

Then there's the 'debate' about Clause Four of the Labour Party's constitution, which Blair believes he's won. Nationalisation was always a key part of Labour's constitution, and the revised Clause Four is meaningless waffle. Actually, the cost of nationalisation would be prohibitive and was only achieved in Labour's past administrations by massive government borrowing which is out of the question today. Labour has been protesting loudly about the 'obscene' pay increases of the management of the newly-privatised public utilities and demanding curbs on their charges. Quite obviously Labour would still like to control them if it could!

For many years now, Labour has been forging links with various minority groups to try and boost its flagging appeal. These include Blacks, Asians, women (i.e. militant feminists), gays, lesbians and the handicapped. Last year there was a headline in the *Sunday Express*, saying: "Blair to court the Jewish vote," in the same way that Thatcher did when she was seeking power. Labour has even opened an office in Hong Kong to recruit wealthy Chinese emigrating to the UK to escape the Red Chinese takeover.

There is a large percentage of Black and Asian Labour councillors, and we will no doubt be seeing Britain's first black cabinet minister should Labour win the next general election. There was a complete farce during Labour's last elections to its National Executive, when Ken Livingstone had to stand down to allow a woman to take his place to satisfy quotas! Some months ago, a bill to give more rights to the disabled was defeated



Which represents the real Labour Party? Is it Bambi's media-friendly smile or the snarling face of the red mob?



by the Conservatives in the Commons, who claimed, no doubt rightly, that it would be too costly to implement. This brought outrage from Labour.

Should Labour win the next general election, all these minorities will be demanding a slice of the action. This won't be restricted to more financial handouts but will mean even more rights and privileges for them.

In the past when Labour was in power, it was accused of being in the pocket of the trades unions. The Conservatives have broken union power and Blair is now trying to disown the unions. What sort of party is it that turns its back on its founder members? Not surprisingly, there are murmurs of discontent from many trade unionists.

UNLIKELY SOCIALIST

Labour's current leader, Tony Blair, is its fifth in opposition. His image certainly seems to appeal to the electorate more than that of the clownish Kinnock and the uninspiring Callaghan, Foot and Smith. Blair is a most unlikely socialist. He was educated at Fettes, Scotland's top public school, and is under fire from Labour's left wing for sending his own offspring to public school. His wife, Cherie, has recently been appointed a QC — meaning that her earnings will be approaching £200,000 a year. We don't seem to have heard any outcry from Labour about the 'Fat Cats' of the legal profession!

Many other wealthy, middle class types are now in Labour's ranks — which is causing some disquiet among the party's traditional

supporters. Blair claims that these represent the 'new' Labour Party. He's even suggested that Labour should change its name. If it does, it'll result in complete confusion to the electorate and outrage among its traditional supporters.

What seems to have happened to the Labour Party is that it's become disillusioned following its last, disastrous, administration, four consecutive general election defeats and the Conservative anti-union and privatisation policies of the eighties. Despite this, Labour is still the main opposition party. Its disarray has caused opportunists to move in and take over and attempt to change the image of the party to suit their own designs. Their success in obtaining such a high percentage in opinion

Contd. on page 22

HOW TO PROFIT OUT OF NATIONAL DISASTER

Free market Toryism bares its soul in investment guidance. JAMES THURGOOD reports.

Sick of the state of national chaos and disintegration in Britain? Never mind — there's a way in which you can turn it all to your own advantage!

This was the message of a piece of literature that came into my hands recently. It was a special report headed 'Confidential: 1995-2010', printed by a company called Fleet Street Publications Ltd. The main publication turned out by this outfit is a fortnightly called *The Fleet Street Letter*, an investment guide. This particular report appears to be a special supplement to that journal, and it contains a number of predictions about future political and economic developments intended to serve as prudent advice to would-be investors.

In one section the report, written by the *Letter's* editor John Campbell, speaks of Britain becoming increasingly balkanised by the success of Scottish and Welsh Nationalism on the one hand and the growth of the influence of ethnic and religious minorities on the other. And I quote:

"Within Britain, *The Fleet Street Letter* predicts increasingly clear-cut, self-imposed divisions into areas defined by race and religion, as more and more people within Britain refuse or are unable to participate in British language, culture and society.

"Within most major cities, there are already areas considered Asian, Caribbean or Chinese. This trend

will intensify. In North London, Orthodox Jews are setting up an eruv around Golders Green, Finchley and Hendon to mark their own religious boundaries. This has already happened in Newcastle. Muslims are equally determined to maintain their own schools, mosques and communities.

"Separate languages increase this. To give an idea of the range of languages within Britain, two London councils have to translate council literature into Urdu, Hindu, Gujarati, Punjabi, Somali, Bengali, Portuguese, Tamil, Amheric, Eritrean, Arabic, Serbo-Croat, Vietnamese and Cantonese. "This trend towards self-imposed cultural and geographic ghettos will increasingly fragment British society, particularly with almost one million more immigrants expected by the year 2010.

"I know our readers don't have to be victims of these changes, watching helplessly as they are threatened by events beyond their control. Instead, I intend to give them the right advice and fore-knowledge to protect themselves from these changes — and profit."

The political orientation of *The Fleet Street Letter* is not stated — at least in respect of allegiance to any particular party. However, from a cursory reading of this report it is obvious that the guiding ideology is one of free-market Toryism. The prospects of a Labour victory at the next general election are spoken of with horror. *The Fleet Street Letter* is

written by self-proclaimed 'insiders' of the capitalist system, and its closeness to Thatcherite (if perhaps not Majorite) Conservative politics is obvious on every page.

Its message here is a depressing one, but as a commentary on the spirit that has taken hold of Britain's moneyed classes in the 1990s it is true to life. It contains between the lines a thinly veiled admission that the disintegration of our country into numerous ethnic and religious ghettos is not a happy development, but it goes on to say that such events are beyond its readers' control (Why are they? Those readers can become political activists and fight them just as we are doing). It then ends by saying that those events can be turned to readers' profit! How? By taking the advice over investments contained in *The Fleet Street Letter* — for which the subscription rate for 24 issues is normally £96.50 but with special trial subscriptions now being offered at £45.00 (lucky new readers!).

So, you folks out there, why carry on worrying about the alien takeover of large parts of Britain — least of all trying to oppose it? If you're a sensible free-market Tory, you'll follow the good advice of Mr. Campbell, and not only will you be able to immunise yourself against its consequences but you'll actually be able to make money out of the whole unfortunate business!

Any takers?

RITUAL SLAUGHTER: AN ALIEN PRACTICE THAT DISGRACES EUROPE

SYLVIA NOBLE spotlights an evil which the 'Animal Rights' lobby should be fighting.

PUBLIC ANGER over live exports has recently been compounded by exposure in the *Daily Mail* of May 9th that British sheep are used for ritual slaughter sacrifice by Muslims in France.

The French authorities, alarmed at the number of animals having their throats cut by Muslims in private houses and streets, have resorted to providing public land in order to facilitate this procedure, especially during times of Muslim festivals.

According to the *Daily Mail* report, Muslims converge on the designated slaughter sites, some armed with bread knives, preferring to kill their own animals as is customary. The blood is drained into open ditches as sheep are butchered at the rate of one hundred an hour.

Hundreds of thousands of British sheep are now suffering painful and protracted deaths at the hands of rough, unskilled Third World immigrants throughout France and beyond.

The *Mail* reports that on one site alone at least

four British lorries, each carrying up to 200 sheep, made deliveries in the middle of the night during the recent Eid festival. At another site the *Daily Mail*'s reporter was threatened with a shotgun and ordered to leave. Mohammed Boushiki, otherwise known as 'Tonton Mouton' (or Uncle Sheep) is official appointee by Paris mosque leaders as this year's purveyor of sacrificial animals.

The French are accused of sanctioning public land for animal sacrifice in order to avoid antagonising the country's five million Muslims, and one cannot but question such an attitude which causes Western societies to abandon all civilised standards as a policy of appeasement to immigrants unless of course the French fear violent civil unrest emanating from the immigrant community.

We may dismiss French expressions of astonishment at British intransigence to live exports and ritual slaughter by accepting that a people raised on a diet of horsemeat, frogs legs and snails are unlikely to appreciate the concerns of the

British for animal welfare which, to our credit, has set us apart from the rest of Europe.

However, in Britain as in France, multi-racialism continues its overwhelming and inexorable growth, and ritual slaughter epitomises the degenerative, oppressive and unwholesome influences brought to bear on European attitudes and standards.

ALIEN WEDGE

In Britain we are confronted with a growing immigrant population which, whilst calling itself British, possesses none of the characteristics unique to our race. Refusal to recognise this fact on the part of our political social engineers will, in due course, promote the collapse of all the values, traditions and virtues common to our people if present trends are allowed to remain unchallenged.

In a civilised society of the kind produced by
Contd. on next page



The killing field: British sheep suffering agony over blood pits, while being slaughtered by Muslims in France

our own cultural heritage, it has always been obligatory to look to ways of improving standards and conditions in the welfare of animals. For this reason pre-stunning of animals prior to slaughter was first introduced in Britain earlier this century as a means of being the most effective and humane method of eliminating stress and suffering during slaughter if carried out correctly.

As soon as this requirement was announced, it was vehemently opposed and successfully challenged by Jews, who were granted special religious exemption under the law to slaughter animals without pre-stunning on condition that the meat from these animals was intended to provide food for Jews. This religious exemption clause later came to include Britain's large Muslim population. As a result, we now have a dual legal system permitting ritual slaughter on a massive scale whilst purporting to maintain a policy of humane slaughter legislation. Ritual slaughter is openly condemned as barbaric and unacceptable on animal welfare grounds by all scientific and authoritative veterinary sources, including the Government's own Farm Animal Welfare Council, which has recommended that the practice be banned. The Government, however, persists in ignoring the FAWC recommendations, no doubt fearing the same immigrant backlash which has caused the French to go so far as to turn parts of France into an open public slaughterhouse.

In direct contravention of the law permitting ritual slaughter to Jews and Muslims, enormous quantities of this meat are passed unlabelled onto the open meat market which would be rejected by the British public on moral and ethical grounds if identified as being ritually slaughtered. Jews are the main offenders in this process, as the rear half of all animals slaughtered by Jews is considered non-kosher and automatically off-loaded onto the general public. As Jews regard identification of their own food as essential, it angered opponents of ritual slaughter when Jews successfully managed two years ago to overturn a European directive which would have made labelling of ritually slaughtered meat on sale to the general public compulsory throughout Europe. As a result, the British people are being deliberately denied their right to freedom of choice, and an act of deception and serious breach of the law is taking place.

The exact wording of the religious exemption clause stipulates ritual slaughter "to provide food for Jews and Muslims," and, as Jews were aware at the time, the special privilege was granted to them that half of every animal ritually slaughtered would be off-loaded onto the British people, it is evident that the law is flawed and open to challenge in court. The Ministry of Agriculture and the British Government are thereby guilty of an act of maladministration and failing to correct a serious and unethical legal anomaly.

As an example of the lengths to which our establishment will go in order to accommodate

ritual slaughter, the *Jewish Chronicle* recently reported that the Queen has installed a glatt kosher kitchen inside Buckingham palace, and, having regard to the political climate now prevailing, we can only speculate on the frequency of its use.

GOVERNMENT ALARM

Because of the obvious cruelty involved in the unnecessary transportation of live animals over vast distances for slaughter overseas, the depth and strength of public opposition to this trade has shocked and alarmed the Government. The public, having exhausted all legitimate means of protest and disgusted at the Government's refusal to address their concerns, have decided that direct action is the only way forward. When an irresistible force meets an immovable object something must give, and in this case I am willing to bet that public opinion will eventually prove too strong for the Government to combat.

Nothing could be more foolish on the part of our politicians to assume that the British people will continue to maintain an attitude of passive acquiesce whilst their legitimate and just demands are persistently ignored. Our instinctive desire to "do right" with helpless dumb animals and the failure of government to address this particularly emotive issue may well ignite a touch paper that could eventually explode.

Animal welfare has never been high on the nationalist agenda but it is now proving itself to be extremely significant as a means of exposing the irreconcilable racial, cultural and religious disparities existing between ourselves and the alien element in our society.

Agents of the left have successfully dominated animal rights as a platform for political agitation and social disruption but it has now become a two-edged sword and one which offers national patriots an important opportunity of mounting their own ethical, moral and racial crusade. In so doing, we not only work towards ending the barbaric ordeal of ritual slaughter inflicted on our livestock but seek to restore our own principles and standards which have been permitted to sink to unacceptable depths.

Finally, as we commemorate Britain's part in the expulsion of the Nazis from France 50 years ago, let us reflect on the France of today and her five million Muslim immigrants ritually slaughtering animals in public in celebrations of Eid and ask ourselves how far the French have moved forward in the process of evolution as civilised Europeans.

FREEDOM VIDEOS

For your copy of our latest catalogue of nationalist, revisionist and music videos (VHS) send two first-class stamps to: - Freedom Videos, Box 1, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants. GU33 7DD.

REPATRIATION: ANOTHER VIEW (Contd. from page 8)

of the ethnic minorities may take, perhaps, two to two and a half years. With the year to year-and-a-half from stage one, that gives a total repatriation time thus far of three to four years, more likely the latter.

STAGE THREE

Stage three is really the 'mopping up' phase of the whole operation. At this point, the numbers of ethnic minorities remaining who have either been 'missed' by the system or who have evaded repatriation shouldn't amount to more than 100,000 to 200,000. At this stage, they should then be advised by the national media to report to their local police stations to have their details taken and NTQ papers with dates of departure issued on the spot.

Following on from this, police would actively stop and check ethnic minorities on a routine and random basis. Ethnic minorities not producing their NTQ card would simply be arrested and deported without fuss or delay and with a minimal resettlement figure of perhaps £500. These measures would need to be advertised well in advance. But because of the numbers involved the entire process for stage three should not take longer than 8-12 months.

Taking the longer figure of a year, the whole repatriation programme should be capable of completion within five years — the term of a single parliament. And with a new irrevocable British Constitution and Nationality Act in place, we could rest secure that the multi-racial nightmare inflicted upon us could never happen again.

SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, we have found it impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle our magazine. It is therefore not to be found on sale in high street newsagents or bookshops. Hence our need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested, in which case an SAE would be much appreciated.

Have you joined the Welling Club?

The Welling Club is a special group that has been formed for the purpose of raising money for the British National Party. Membership is open to all persons, whether or not BNP members, willing to contribute £20 each month to the party's funds.

Welling Club members will be sent regular bulletins informing them of progress in achieving various BNP projects for which their donations are required. They will also be entitled to attend, free of charge, an annual club dinner addressed by the BNP Chairman.

Those wishing to join the Welling Club should apply, sending their initial £20 contribution (made out to the British National Party), to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.



The Editor
'Spearhead'
PO Box 117
Welling
Kent DA16 3DW

LETTERS

SIR: Have you seen the latest NatWest Bank advertisement on TV? After a series of cleverly devised snapshots, we see the hero taking his half-caste girlfriend on holiday water-ski-ing. How chic and smart, of course, and what a good dose of subtle race-mixing propaganda for the gullible public to soak up!

P. SNELL
Ilkeston, Derbyshire

SIR: I would like to draw readers' attention to the massive poster advert that sports manufacturers Nike have put on display in streets all over Britain. It shows a rugby player scoring a try for England, and the caption reads: "There is some corner of a foreign field that is for ever England." This is taken from a famous poem by Rupert Brooke dedicated to the men who gave their lives for Britain in all parts of the world, particularly in World War I, in which Brooke himself died. The poem extols everything great about this country.

So is the advert one that should be welcomed by nationalists? Not exactly. The player shown scoring the try was Victor Ubogu, who is black!

D. SUMMERS
Salford, Lancs.

SIR: John Morse's splendid article last month on 'Zimbabwe' brought back horribly unforgettable memories of my return flight to the UK from 'Harare' in 1983. I was coming back to the UK after a short stay, and was glad to leave. There was rationing of petrol, cooking oil, butter, toilet paper and a whole list of other items, and the queues of cars at filling stations and the scrums at the supermarkets were chaotic.

Three weeks previously, on leaving Heathrow I had bought a book and some magazines and dropped the change from a £5 note (about 60p) into my jacket pocket and forgotten all about it. Had I only known how much grief this forgotten loose change would subsequently cause!

Before the return flight, I dutifully counted and declared all my foreign currency, as required, forgetting the 60p. The result, after its discovery, was the most harrowing experience. Strip-searched at the

airport, I had my clothes taken away and was left standing half-undressed while other passengers filed past the open-doored office where I was being interrogated. Nobody seemed surprised.

The authorities remained implacable and no explanation was accepted or even listened to. A fat black woman subjected me to a screaming lecture which was obviously designed to humiliate me. Eventually they gave me back my clothes and let me catch my flight, but I did not feel safe until I was half way back to Heathrow. Never again!

I. MALCOLMSON (Mrs.)
Gosport, Hants.

SIR: Thank you for the May 1995 *Spearhead*. I can remember being impressed when you reached issue No. 100. To have reached No. 315 shows a truly great level of dedication and persistence.

I was particularly interested in Paul Andrews' well thought-out analysis of the voting patterns found in a typical council election, which concluded that these show that the BNP can only make really major electoral gains once it wins the votes of 'Middle Britain', and that even more intensive canvassing is the way to achieve this.

I certainly agree that the already sympathetic millions in this category must be won over. But the key to this process is not, at this stage, even more intensive canvassing. The BNP simply does not have the manpower to match the old parties in traditional electioneering in more than a handful of seats at a time, so any strategy which relies on such a slow engine of growth is seriously flawed. I have no doubt that there will be more Millwall-style victories, yet the significance of these is not that they signal imminent electoral take-off but the way in which they encourage recruitment and so help build a powerful nationwide organisation.

Local electoral successes can play an important role in boosting the credibility of the BNP as a serious contender for political power, but that power will be built not on short-term ballot box surges but on trained cadres, a sound economic base and a hard-earned reputation as a campaigning organisation which stands up for 'Rights for Whites'. **Electoral success is the result of such power, not its cause.** It is very significant that Derek Beacock's famous victory followed the routing of the reds in Bermondsey just across the Thames. The electorate saw, for the first time, an organisation that was willing and able to stand up to protect its own and impose its own will. In the coming crisis, a frightened 'Middle Britain' will certainly demand discipline, but it must go hand-in-hand with **toughness**, not with 'respectability' in the eyes of the pundits of failed liberalism.

NICK GRIFFIN M.A. (Hons) Cantab.
Editor, *The Rune*
Carshalton, Surrey

SIR: Let's look back at some of Britain's outstanding leaders. In my lifetime I can only think of three.

Margaret Thatcher was a great speaker and a forceful leader even in policy — until she finally made it as Prime Minister. Then her previous views on immigration went out of the window. But she still knew what to say and how to say it.

When the miners' strike came, Arthur Scargill crawled out of the woodwork and became a very effective speaker and leader. He always knew how to arouse the masses, but he too betrayed the people who had trusted him.

These two leaders had a lot in common. They knew what to say and how to say at the right times. But neither stood by their principles.

So who is going to be Britain's next leader? John Major, whose speech is better than a cure for insomnia? 'Bambi' Blair, the man whose voice sounds like that of a small mammal? These people are not leaders and will never stir a cup of tea, never mind the British people.

The only leader whose oratory, whose command of the English language, whose principles and dedication to Britain commands respect and loyalty from his followers in every word he utters is the leader of the British national Party, John Tyndall.

Let's hope that Labour wins the next election and then fails to fulfil its promises — which it will surely do. Maybe then our party leader will get the opportunity to win his rightful place as leader of this nation.

A. BELSHAW
Mansfield, Notts.

SIR: With reference to Alan Penrose's article in the March issue about British Polythene industries switching production to China in order to cut costs, readers may be interested in the following letter that appeared in *The Times* of March 18th:-

"Sir, the Labour leadership are, I am afraid, correct to acknowledge that full employment is unachievable and that to include it in their manifesto would be dishonest ('Labour files its claws', March 13).

"I agree that to mention full employment in the revised Clause 4 would certainly raise impossible expectations. We live in one world and we cannot ignore the fact that whereas a software engineer in India receives £176 a month his counterpart here gets £1,600.

"Companies like my own, which market software, are faced with competition from countries with cheap workforces and to suggest that a Labour Government could, under the circumstances, achieve full employment in Britain is illusory.

"It is more honest to speak of realising the full potential of all."

SIGMUND STERNBERG
Chairman, Isys Plc., London N.W.9
Proof surely that only the BNP has the answer!

R.E. TANDY
Kings Lynn, Norfolk

BOOKS

BNP BOOK SERVICE (PHONE AND FAX 0181-316-4721)
154 UPPER WICKHAM LANE, WELLING, KENT DA16 3DX
NORMALLY OPEN MONDAY TO FRIDAY 10.00am. TO 5.30pm.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

ARTHURIAN LEGENDS, THE £8.95 (paperback only). A beautifully illustrated anthology of Arthurian literature from Geoffrey of Monmouth to T.H. White. A 'must' for lovers of nation legends. 1979, 224pp.

BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (David Astle) £17.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

★ **BELL CURVE** (Richard J. Herrnstein & Charles Murray) £25.00. This book, which has aroused enormous controversy, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. Although aimed primarily at an American audience it has world wide significance. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

BEST OF ATTACK AND NATIONAL VANGUARD, THE £12.00. A selection of hard-hitting nationalist articles published between 1970 and 1982 in two uncompromising American magazines. 217 large pages.

BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM, THE (Prof. W.C. George) £1.00. The race equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

BOUND TO FAIL (Sundry authors) £2.00. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EEC. 1987, 62pp.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE: INDEPENDENCE OR EXTINCTION (Prof. Stephen Bush) £1.00. A strong plea for British independence from EC bureaucracy. 1990, 19pp.

★ **BRITISH, THE** (M.I. Ebbut) £1.99. A classic retelling of the stories of legendary British and other European heroes, such as Beowulf, Sir Gawayne, Chuchulain, Hereward, Roland and Robin Hood. 1910 (rep. 1994), 311pp.

CAMP OF THE SAINTS, THE (Jean Raspail) £5.00. A welcome reissue of this sensational novel about the destruction of the white race by Asian immigrant invasion. 1973, 311pp.

★ **CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE** (Nigel Jackson) £12.50. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates largely to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader during the late pre-war and wartime years. This book was shunned by all the 'establishment' publishers on account of its startling disclosures. Churchill-worshippers and believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Hitler. 1987, 591pp.

CHURCHILL: THE END OF GLORY (John Charmley) £30.00. This massive work follows in the footsteps of David Irving in his *Churchill's War* in its demolition of the myth of Churchill as a great national leader. The author is in fact less personally scathing in his treatment of his subject than is Irving, even stating that Churchill was a 'great man'. Nevertheless, the book highlights our war Premier's overweening personal ambition and is particularly critical of his failure to come to an agreement with Hitler after British forces had been defeated in Europe in 1940. A valuable new contribution to revisionist history. 1993, 742pp.

COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (Correlli Barnett) £10.99. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. 643pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country 1966. 76pp.

CONTROL OF THE COMMUNICATIONS MEDIA AND CONDITIONING OF THE PUBLIC MIND (Col. B.S. Turner) £9.75. An updated version of articles appearing in *On Target* newsletter. Packed with facts about the ownership of the media and their political

Here is the latest list of our books. The prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order, except in the case of overseas orders, where those about to order should first enquire. Money should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal orders should be made out to the BNP Book Service only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'BNP Book Service' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address, which is: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

New titles are marked with stars ★

Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through the BNP Book Service are written by many different kinds of authors holding a number of different political viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with the British National Party. The party's Book Service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all of what is printed in these books should necessarily be taken as representative of the policies of the British National Party. We reserve the right to differ with some of the authors on some parts of their writings.

bias. 1992, 34pp (A4).

CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (Douglas Reed) £14.50. A best seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little known and long suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (Leonard Young) £2.00. A reprint of an important work on the money power and its threat to the freedom of mankind. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1956, rep. 1992, 72pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood) £1.00. The fact-filled pamphlet which strongly refutes the official 'Holocaust' figures. 28pp.

DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (Wilmet Robertson) £7.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

ÉLITE, THE (Barbara Cole) £11.75. The exciting story of the Rhodesian Special Air Service and its

campaign against terrorism. 1984, 449pp.

FACING THE ABYSS (A.K. Chesterton) £1.00. A graphic portrayal of the decay of our political and social life by one of the outstanding figures of post-war British Nationalism. 1976, 118pp.

FORCED WAR, THE (David L. Hoggan) £21.00. Described by Harry Elmer Barnes as "...the first thorough study of responsibility for the causes of the Second World War...the definitive revisionist work on the subject." 1989, 732pp.

FRAUDULENT GOSPEL, THE (Bernard Smith) £2.95. The third edition of a devastating exposé of the World Council of Churches and its support for communism and terrorism. 1991, 168pp.

FRENCH REVOLUTION, THE (Nesta Webster) £6.00. A much needed reprint of the counter-revolutionary classic that exposed the plotting behind the first great revolutionary bloodbath of modern history. 1919 (rep. 1988), xiv, 519pp.

FROM ROME TO MAASTRICHT (Brian Burkitt, Mark Baimbridge and Stephen Reed) £1.50. A reappraisal of Britain's membership of the European Community — from the Campaign for an Independent Britain. 1992, 69pp.

GLOBAL MANIPULATORS, THE (Robert Eringer) £2.50. While the author repudiates any conspiratorial view of world events, he still provides a comprehensive exposure of the organisation and influence of the Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission. 1980, 95pp.

GLOBAL TYRANNY... STEP BY STEP (William F. Jasper) £12.50. How the UN is being developed as an omnipotent global government, suppressing national identities and human rights. The author is senior editor of *The New American* and a John Birch Society officer. 1992 xvii, 350pp.

GRAND DESIGN, THE (Douglas Reed) £2.25. A behind-the-scenes look at modern world history. 1977, 45pp.

GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL, THE (Michael A. Hoffmann II) £4.00. Very comprehensive and well-written report on the infamous trial of Ernst Zundel and freedom of speech and inquiry in Canada. 1985, 95pp.


HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE (James Gibb Stuart) £10.00. This latest work by a well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'new world order'. 1993, 233pp.

HITLER'S WAR (David Irving) £25.00. A revised and abridged version of *The War Path: Hitler's Germany 1933-39 and Hitler's War* (1977 ed.). 1991, VI, 857pp.


HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY, THE (Prof. A.R. Butz) £5.95. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'Holocaust' and dissects a number of popular myths. 2nd edition 1977, 315pp.

Contd. overleaf


NEW TITLES



The big book of the moment, this has had enormous media publicity.



A new addition to the race debate. Shows the naturalness of 'racism.'



Recent new edition of a famous old book, a classic on its subject.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE OR NEW INQUISITION? (Alexander Baron) £8.50. An independent libertarian researcher defends the rights of holocaust revisionists and exposes the lies of the individuals and organisations seeking to suppress free enquiry on the subject. Packed with facts and quotations. 1994, 179pp.

IMPERIUM (Ulrich Varange) £15.00. One of the most important nationalist philosophical books of the post-war period. The author, whose real name was Francis Parker Yockey, rejects Marx, Freud and other 'culture distorters' and demolishes the whole ideology of 'liberalism.' Originally published 1948, 625pp.

I.Q. AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES (Prof. Henry Garrett) £1.20. Clear and concise summary of the evidence of racial differences in intelligence and their significance in education. 1980, 57pp.

IS THE DIARY OF ANNE FRANK GENUINE? (Robert Faurisson) £2.75. Reprinted from *The Journal of Historical Review*, this is a critical commentary on an emotive historical document. 1982, 62pp.

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

LEUCHTER REPORT, THE (Fred A. Leuchter) £5.00. The evidence on 'gas chambers' by an American engineer that rocked the Zundel trial. Leuchter, in effect, testified that such installations could not have existed in German occupied Europe before or during 1939-45. 1988, 66pp.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES (Alexander Baron) £4.99. An exposure of the lies and crimes of the *Searchlight* 'mole' Ray Hill and a demolition of his ridiculous book *The Other Face of Terror*. 1994, 128pp.

MAMMON VERSUS GOD (Dr. Kitty Little) £1.00. Describes how financial interests are promoting the destruction of nationhood. 1993, 30pp.

MATTER OF LIFE OR DEBT, A (Eric de Mare) £4.75. A distinguished architectural historian examines the flaws in our financial and banking system and offers a remedy based on the ideas of C.H. Douglas. 1983, 140pp.

MERRIE ENGLAND, 2000 (Colin Jordan) £1.00. A fictional portrayal of life at the start of the coming century, with white people under the lash of the racial 'harmonisers'. 1993, 39pp.

MIGHT OF THE WEST, THE (Laurence R. Brown) £12.00. An American engineer-scholar gives a new interpretation of the history of western civilisation, showing the importance of the mediaeval contribution to philosophy and science, and showing that the decline of the West is not historically inevitable, as some would teach us. 1963, vii, 562pp.

MONEY BOMB, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £4.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

NAKED CAPITALIST, THE (W. Cleon Skousen) £4.80. A study of the political power and ambitions of America's and the world's financial capitalists and of their link-up with communism. 1970, 144pp.

NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £6.50. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

NATIONAL SUICIDE: MILITARY AID TO THE SOVIET UNION (Anthony Sutton) £6.80. Shows quite conclusively that Soviet military technology is a creation of American aid. Exposes the myth of American 'anti-communism'. 1973, 83pp.

★ NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS (Laszlo F. Thomay) £7.50. An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate or bigotry but on natural, universal tendencies. 1993, 145pp.

NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £6.00 hardback. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to dragoon mankind into a global police state. 1972, 288pp.

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

TREASON AT MAASTRICHT



The Destruction of the British Constitution

Rodney Atkinson Norris McWhirter

The big topic for the Tories at the next election. Read just why it is that the Conservative party is tearing itself apart

RACE (J.R. Baker) £25.00 hardback. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION 50p. Produced by a group of nationalist teachers in reply to an NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20 A4 pages.

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

★ RECONSTRUCTION TRILOGY, THE (Thomas Dixon) £12.00. A reprint of *The Leopards Spots*, *The Klansman* and *The Traitor*, three great novels dealing with the 'Reconstruction' of the American South after the Civil War as seen from the Southern Whites' point of view. Dixon's novels inspired the epic D.W. Griffith film *Birth of a Nation*, the race mixers' least favourite picture. 1902-1907, rep. 1994, xx, 550pp.

RELIGION AND THE RACIAL CONTROVERSY (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that racial integration is not ordained by Christianity but that the reverse is true. 1970, 16pp.

★ SEARCHLIGHT ON A SEARCHLIAR (Alexander Baron) £2.99. A detailed, factual and annotated destruction of the pretensions of Gerry Gable, self-styled 'anti-fascist' researcher and editor of *Searchlight* magazine. Shortly after this exposure of Gable's lies, the author was assaulted at his home by a group of thugs. 1993, 53pp.

★ SECRET SOCIETIES AND SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENTS (Nesta Webster) £14.00. A standard authority on the most important secret societies undermining civilisation and Christianity in the 200 years up to the 1920s. 1924, rep. 1994, 419pp.

SELF-DETERMINATION? THE QUESTION ULSTER MUST ANSWER (Richard Cameron) £5.99. The author's solution of self-determination for Ulster under the Crown may be contentious, but the book makes plain the neglect and mismanagement to which the province has been subjected by direct rule. 1992, 192pp.

SEXUAL DEAD-END, THE (Stephen Green) £9.95. A factual and scientific description of the threat to

personal and social health posed by homosexuality, with an account of the rise of the 'Gay Liberation' movements and 'Gay' activism. This book is not sensationalist but neither is it for the squeamish or easily shocked. 1992, 482pp.

SOME TO MECCA TURN TO PRAY (Mervyn Hiskett) £12.95. An examination of Islamic values and tradition with important sections on the relations between Moslems and host societies and Islam and Zionism. 1991, 357pp.

SPEARHEAD BINDER (£7.60). Made to hold 12 copies of *Spearhead* magazine.

STALIN'S WAR (Ernst Topich) £13.00. A revisionist view of the origins of World War II by an Austrian professor of philosophy. 1987, 152pp.

STATE SECRETS (Count. Leon de Poncins) £3.50. A study of some little known state documents that throw much light on recent history. 1975, 191pp.

STRUGGLE FOR WORLD POWER, THE (George Knupffer) £6.20. The fourth edition of a work by a distinguished Russian author dealing with the communist and financial conspiracies and showing the link between the two. 1986, 240pp.

SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Bryan B. Monahan) £7.80. A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival and offering solutions to the problem of inflation. 1971, viii, 124pp.

THOUGHT CRIMES: THE KEEGSTRA CASE (Doug Christie) £2.40. The text of Doug Christie's courtroom defence of the persecuted Canadian schoolteacher Jim Keegstra. 1986, 34pp.

TOO BRIGHT THE VISION? (Arthur Lewis) £7.99. The reminiscences of a valiant clergyman who upheld the causes of Christianity and civilised rule in Rhodesia. Despite being born in the UK, the author had the honour of being barred from this country by the Wilson régime. 1992, viii, 279pp.

TORPEDO RUNNING £5.00. An illustrated magazine-sized survey of the writing career of historian David Irving. xii, 14pp.

TRADITIONALISTS' ANTHOLOGY (Compiled by Elizabeth Lady Freeman) £9.00. A fascinating collection of quotations from a wide variety of writers, many of which are of direct relevance to the nationalist cause. 1986, 185pp.

★ TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £7.99. A well-known businessman defends the concept of the nation state and points out the perils of free trade. 1994, 216pp.

USES OF RELIGION, THE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.00. Examines the value of religion as a socially cohesive force. 1982, 36pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80. The links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. 1974, 228pp.

WALL STREET AND THE RISE OF HITLER (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80. A book that has aroused considerable controversy among nationalists by its suggestion that Hitler had big financial backing from Wall Street. The author is an expert on the links between finance and politics. Read what he says and decide for yourself. 1976, 220pp.

WAR LORDS OF WASHINGTON (Curtis B. Dall) £2.60. In an interview with Anthony J. Hilder, Roosevelt's son-in-law exposes FDR's responsibility for Pearl Harbour. n.d., 45pp.

WEALTH, VIRTUAL WEALTH AND DEBT (Frederick Soddy) £10.00. The author, a Nobel prize-winner in chemistry, points the way to a satisfactory and beneficial financial system. A long-established classic. 1926 (Rep. 1983), 320pp.

★ YESTERDAY & TOMORROW £4.50. A collection of articles on 'The Tradition of National Revolution.' Featured authors include Belloc, Chesterton, Fr. Fahey and Cornelius Codreanu. 1994, 80pp.

ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £13.00. The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. 1982, 904pp.

ZIONIST FACTOR, THE (Ivor Benson) £10.40. New and much improved edition of a book first published in 1986. A study of the Jewish presence in 20th century history, covering such events as the Bolshevik Revolution, the creation of Israel, the fall of Rhodesia and the relationship between communism and capitalism. 1992, iii, 205pp.

PUBLICITY MATERIAL

Except where otherwise stated, the items listed below should be ordered from PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

A New Way Forward

A summary of the main political objectives of the British National Party. 79p post-free.

Fight Back!

British National Party manifesto for the recent 1992 election. Policies are exactly as outlined in *A New Way Forward* (above) but with different title, cover design and introduction. 79p post-free.

Where We Stand

A new, abridged and bang up-to-date statement of BNP policies. Standard information brochure sent to enquirers. 29p post-free.

No to Maastricht! No to Europe!

Brand-new booklet outlining the British National Party case against the EC and in particular against the Maastricht Treaty. Produced out of collaboration between BNP Devon Branch and party headquarters. 59p post-free.

The Enemy Within

This, the latest BNP booklet, is about the role of the BBC as a weapon of mass brainwashing. The booklet comprises the text of an official complaint by the party to the Broadcasting Complaints Commission following the odious *Public Eye* programme shown in January 1993. Although the complaint is about one specific TV programme, its general drift could be said to apply to a wide range of anti-nationalist propaganda on TV and radio. 44p post-free.

BNP Activists' Handbook

This is the publication that so many have been waiting for. The handbook is a comprehensive instruction course in all the essential techniques needed in promoting and building the British National Party at local branch level, starting from scratch when the activist is entirely alone. This booklet is a mine of information that all activists, old and new, will find valuable in the quest to make their activities more efficient and effective. It is a 'must' for everyone who wants to be more than just an armchair nationalist. The Handbook is illustrated and runs to 71 pages. £1.86 post-free.

BNP Election Manifesto 1983

Booklet outlining the policies on which the British National Party fought the 1983 general election. Entitled *Vote for Britain* (23pp). 49p post-free.

BNP Badges

British National Party badges with logo in red, white and blue. £1.50 post-free.

Union Jack lapel badges

£1.50 each post-free. £1.30 each post-free for 10 or more.

BNP Key Rings

Key rings with attachment bearing BNP logo in red, white and blue. £1.75 post-free.

BNP Cloth Logo

BNP logo in cloth, also in red, white and blue. Ideal for sowing onto anoraks, etc. £1.50 post-free.

BNP Leaflets

Defend Rights for Whites! New leaflet rallying white

people to defend their heritage and oppose multi-racialism. The leaflet looks at the effect of multi-racialism on Law and Order, Housing, Health Care, Employment, Education and Social Welfare. It also deals with the treasonous role of the mass media in promoting multi-racial Britain. This leaflet is larger than the standard BNP product. Text and illustrations cover two sides of an A4 format, which is to be folded into three panels each side. £10.00 per 1,000, with postage charges of £5.25 per 1,000.

Race Attacks: the truth. This is a new leaflet focusing on the recent brutal murder by Asians of a young white boy, Richard Everitt, in North London. The leaflet shows how left-wing agitators and the media whip up hysteria when Blacks or Asians are the victims of killings but strangely mute when the murdered person is white.

Make Britain safe! This is the BNP's latest law-and-order leaflet. It focuses on a man in Liverpool savagely beaten up recently just for ticking off some youths who vandalised his car. The leaflet spells out the party's basic anti-crime policies, such as restoration of the death penalty and making prisons much tougher.

Vote British National Party. This leaflet focuses on the BNP election victory in Tower Hamlets in September 1993 and stresses how this has changed the entire face of British politics, saying that now the BNP has shown that it can win elections it has a new credibility and a vote for it undoubtedly counts. The leaflet also gives a brief synopsis of the main policies on which the party will be fighting forthcoming elections. This is recommended as an excellent election 'warm-up' leaflet. It should be used as a supplement to, not a substitute for, the standard personalised candidate's leaflet. The leaflet contains a photograph of BNP Councillor Derek Beacock at his moment of victory.

Join the British National Party. This leaflet is on a similar theme to the above-mentioned 'Vote...' leaflet, dealing likewise with the Tower Hamlets victory. However, it is designed primarily for recruitment purposes rather than for electioneering. It also contains the Derek Beacock victory photograph.

Rebuild Britain NOW! Fairly new leaflet. Deals mostly with measures needed to revive the British economy and restore full employment, but also mentions the effect on British jobs of the coloured invasion.

Join us! Highly successful recruiting leaflet designed for BNP Autumn and Winter 1992 and Spring 1993 recruitment campaigns. Gives brief account of best known BNP policies. A summons to battle!

Immigration: the time to say STOP! Leaflet drawing attention to the still large numbers of immigrants coming into Britain and calling for repatriation.

Violent crime in Britain: the horrifying truth. Deals with muggings, rapes and other violent attacks on defenceless people, giving examples of specific cases. Calls for much tougher treatment of violent criminals.

Hang child murderers! Very powerful leaflet calling for the death penalty for the killers of little children.

Stop unemployment... Ban imports! Up-to-date leaflet dealing with the effect on British jobs of unrestricted imports of goods that can and should be produced in Britain by British workers. Contains photo of pithead scene and is especially suitable for distribution to coal miners threatened with redundancy through planned pit closures.

Stand by Ulster! Leaflet supporting Loyalists in Northern Ireland and listing BNP policies for the province.

Election warm-up leaflet. This leaflet has been prepared specially for areas where the BNP plans to put up candidates for elections, whether parliamentary or local government. It precedes the final leaflet bearing the candidate's name, and is designed for 'warm-up' purposes. Based on the 'Rights for Whites' theme, it is especially valuable for areas where immigration and race are a big issue.

Wake up Scotland! Leaflet specially designed to bring the BNP message to Scotland.

Defend Britain's Fishermen! Attacks the betrayal of the fishing industry. Especially suitable for giving out in fishing areas.

Why Britain detests the Tories Aimed especially at disillusioned Tory voters, listing the failures of the

Major government.

These leaflets cost £4.50 per 1,000, with postage charges of £4.70 for 1,000 and £5.25 for 2,000.

BNP Recordings

Rally '94. Video-recording of the latest BNP Annual

RALLY '94



Video-recording of the British National Party Annual Rally, November 5th 1994

Hear:

DAVID BRUCE

(Chairman)

RICHARD EDMONDS

CLAUDE CORNILLEAU

GUNTHER DECKERT

MICHAEL NEWLAND

JOHN TYNDALL

BNP Recordings, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

LATEST RALLY VIDEO

Recording of the BNP Annual Rally held near London back in November. See this page for further details.

Rally, staged near London on November 5th 1994. Hear and see speeches by David Bruce, Richard Edmonds, Gunther Deckert, Claude Cornilleau, Michael Newland and John Tyndall.

Rally '93. Video-recording of the BNP Annual Rally, staged just outside London on November 6th 1993. Hear and see speeches by John Morse, David Bruce, Kirk Lyons, Claude Cornilleau and John Tyndall.

Rally '92. Video-recording of BNP Annual Rally in London on October 24th 1992. Hear and see speeches by Syd Cartwright, John Peacock, Ken Henderson, Claude Cornilleau, David Bruce, Sam Dickson, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.


Rally '91. Video-recording of BNP Annual Rally in London on October 12th 1991. Hear and see speeches by Steve Tyler, Scott McLean, Ken Henderson, Eddy Butler, Tony Wells, Dr. Donald Clarke, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.

Rally '90. Video-recording of BNP Annual Rally in London on October 13th 1990. Hear and see speeches by David Bruce, Steve Cartwright, John Peacock, Karl Philipp, Tony Lecomber, Steve Smith, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.

Rally '89. Video-recording of BNP Annual Rally in London on October 14th 1989. Hear and see speeches by Mark Wilson, John Peacock, Gus McLeod, Tony Morgan, David Bruce, Harry Mullin, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.

Rally '87. Video-recording of BNP Annual Rally in London on October 31st 1987. Hear and see speeches by Ronald Rickard, Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, Stanley Clayton-Garnett, David Bruce and John Tyndall.

Videos cost £13.00 plus 98p p&p.



LATEST LEAFLETS

See this page

THE PARTY THAT CALLS

ITSELF LABOUR

(Contd. from page 15)

polls has been due to a smear campaign against Conservative MPs, constantly exposing bungled Tory policies and trying to give the impression of a dynamic, united opposition. So far, their own lack of real policies doesn't seem to have been noticed by the electorate.

The party that calls itself Labour is simply a rag-bag of malcontents and opportunists based on the old Labour Party political organisation.

Not surprisingly, left wing opposition to Blair is now becoming increasingly vociferous and might threaten his leadership and even the stability of the party. Whether this will come before or after the next general election remains to be seen. There are already many 'Militant Labour'—type candidates standing in local Government and parliamentary by-elections against official party candidates and obtaining reasonable votes. It's possible that

the left may tolerate Blair so long as he gets the Tories out — after which they'll try and ditch him for one of their own.

LABOUR'S RECORD IN OFFICE

Previous labour governments always used to increase taxation, and yet there was never enough money to pay for all its election pledges. Within a year or two, disillusion would set in which would escalate rapidly. We then start hearing Labour's traditional excuses when things go wrong:-

"What do you expect after sixteen (or whatever) years of Tory misrule?"

"We must tighten our belts."

"things will get worse before they get better."

The problem is that, under Labour, they never do get better. Despite Blair's denials, there's every reason to suppose that Labour will wind up in a similar mess should it win the next election. There'll no doubt be a revival of Conservative fortunes and at the general election following a Labour Government, the Conservatives may well stage a spectacular recovery and Labour would once again be swept from office; though it's

unlikely that the Conservatives will ever regain the type of majorities they had under Thatcher.

A Labour government also causes a flood of new recruits to other parties on the right, for which the BNP must prepare itself. At the same time the BNP should itself be wary of opportunists joining its ranks when the party is on an upswing.

BNP policy towards Labour should be to concentrate on the latter's lack of policies and undoubted intentions to raise taxes. Expose its past record in Government, especially during its last administration. Labour has persistently shown how smear campaigns can be effective — so any 'gaffes', indiscretions and blatantly criminal activities of its members, councillors and MPs should be given maximum publicity. Any BNP trades unionists should be only too keen to protest about Labour's current attitude to the unions!

For the moment, Labour seems to be the party that everyone has to beat, though its success is built on distinctly shaky foundations. Some ruthless campaigning could well bring the whole rotten structure tumbling down.

Tyndall and Beackson to fight two key East End seats

With the next general election less than two years away, the British National Party is now beginning in earnest the task of selecting candidates and seats to fight, so as to be able to put in adequate advance preparation for the contest.

London's East End is the most obvious area in which favourable results are to be

expected. Last month the party chose the two candidates who will spearhead its election campaign there. Party leader John Tyndall will be fighting the Bethnal Green & Bow constituency, while Derek Beackson, who achieved fame in 1993 as the party's first ever councillor, has been chosen to contest the newly formed Poplar & Canning Town seat. Part of this constituency comprises the Millwall Ward, where Mr. Beackson was victorious in the council election. Mr. Tyndall, it will be remembered, won 7 per cent of the vote and his deposit at Dagenham in June last year.

Although Derek Beackson lost his seat in the local government elections last year, the BNP vote in the borough has held up fairly well in the face of a massive swing to Labour influenced by national rather than local political trends. Recently the loony left has strengthened its hold on Tower Hamlets Labour-controlled council. The Mayor is Asian and Asians hold more than a third of council seats. Council grants policies already indicate bias in favour of Asian groups. There is every possibility that by the time of the general election Labour's honeymoon in the area may be over, and this will offer big opportunities for the BNP.

In the meantime, the local branch needs all the activists it can get for help with leafleting and paper sales. Those not already involved but wanting to take part should write to Tower Hamlets BNP at PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2

7BZ. A minimum of £2,500 will be needed to fight the two local seats in the general election. Donations should be sent to the same address.



DEREK BEACKON
Won BNP's first ever council seat



JOHN TYNDALL
Seen here thanking campaign helpers after his deposit was saved at Dagenham

Contact your local party unit!

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the United Kingdom. Here we give a list of the main units.

ISLINGTON & CAMDEN
PO Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street,
Hackney, London E2 7BZ

HILLINGDON
PO Box 390, Uxbridge,
Middlesex UB8 3BA

HOUNSLOW
PO Box 328, Hounslow,
Middlesex TW5 0XF

RICHMOND-UPON-THAMES
PO Box 94, Teddington,
Middlesex TW11 9EY

CROYDON & MERTON
PO Box 301, Carshalton,
Surrey SM5 4QW

SOUTH EAST LONDON
PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

EPPING FOREST
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 2DN

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
PO Box 8, Ashford TN23 2EL

WEST HERTS.
BM Box 1245, London WC1N 3XX

MILTON KEYNES
PO Box 811, Bradwell Common,
Milton Keynes, Bucks. MK13 8YZ

BEDFORD
PO Box 174, Kempston,
Bedford MK42 8EQ

CAMBRIDGE
PO Box 817, Waterbeach,
Cambridge CB5 9JR

BERKSHIRE & NORTH HANTS.
BCM Box 4110, London WC1N 3XX

DEVON
PO Box 160, Exeter EX1 3YY

BRISTOL, AVON & SOMERSET
PO Box 117, Bridgewater,
Somerset TA6 4YX

CARDIFF
PO Box 632, Cardiff CF2 1UU

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr,
Birmingham B44 9LZ

WORCESTER
PO Box 229, Worcester WR2 4SU

STOURBRIDGE
c/o PO Box 229, Worcester WR2 4SU

CANNOCK
PO Box 3, Cannock WS11 2AF

STOKE-ON-TRENT
PO Box 312, Hanley, Staffs. ST1 0SG

LEICESTER
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

NOTTINGHAM
PO Box 2, Carlton, Nottingham NG4 3EQ

MANSFIELD & ASHFIELD
PO Box 20, Mansfield, Notts. NG19 6HP

CHESTERFIELD
PO Box 174, Chesterfield,
Derbyshire S40 1FP

LINCOLN
PO Box 57, Lincoln LN5 7RQ

The BNP also has units in Staines, Redbridge, Newham, Barking & Dagenham, Havering, Thurrock, Southend-on-Sea, Isle of Sheppey, Woking, Southampton, Reading, Gloucestershire, Bristol & Bath, Bournemouth, Stevenage, Enfield & East Herts., Coventry, Grantham, Derby, Ilkeston, Scunthorpe, North Wales, Port Talbot, Barry, Tonypandy, Ebbw Vale, Bolton & Bury, Ashton-under-Lyme, Batley, York, Skipton, Tees-side, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Falkirk, Fife, Cumbernauld, Airdrie, Clydebank, Greenock, Dumbarton, Lanarkshire, Ayr, Cumnock (Ayrshire) and Highlands & Islands. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

WARRINGTON
c/o PO Box 16, Salford M6 7PT

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 7PT

ROCHDALE
PO Box 118, Rochdale,
Lancs. OL16 1AH

OLDHAM
PO Box 10, Oldham, Lancs. OL8 2WW

BLACKBURN
c/o PO Box 15, Colne, Lancs. BB8 9BW

PENDLE
PO Box 15, Colne, Lancs. BB8 9BW

TODMORDEN
PO Box 15, Todmorden, Lancs. OL14 7NQ

BLACKPOOL
PO Box 53, South Shore,
Blackpool FY4 1FS

LEEDS
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

BRADFORD
PO Box 565, Bradford BD10 0YZ

DEWSBURY
PO Box 32, Dewsbury WF12 0YU

HULL
PO Box 953, Hull HU3 1YJ

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 25, Sunderland SR3 1YZ

EDINBURGH
PO Box 719, Edinburgh EH4 2SR

MIDLOTHIAN
PO Box 14, Dalkeith EH22 5DL

WEST LOTHIAN
PO Box 50, Livingston EH54 8JJ

TAYSIDE
PO Box 210, Dundee DD1 9EP

GLASGOW
PO Box 85, Glasgow G51 2DS

JERSEY
c/o PO Box 160, Exeter,
Devon EX1 3YY

Find out about the British National Party

Send 50p for information pack to:-
P.O. BOX 117
WELLING
KENT DA16 3DW
'PHONE & FAX 0181-316-4721

Name.....

Address.....

.....

I enclose.....